32 T.C. 326 (1959)

Whether a corporate stock redemption is essentially equivalent to a dividend depends on the specific facts and circumstances, and the relevant inquiry focuses on the net effect of the distribution.

Summary

The case involves a steel company that redeemed stock from surviving shareholders after the death of other shareholders, following a stock purchase agreement among the original shareholders. The IRS argued these redemptions were essentially dividends, taxable as ordinary income. The Tax Court held that the payments were not dividends, focusing on business purpose and the absence of tax avoidance. The court considered the company's plan to use the redeemed shares to attract and retain key employees, and the fact that the transactions didn't change the shareholders' proportional ownership, concluding that the redemptions were not equivalent to dividends.

Facts

Five individuals owned all the stock of Decker-Reichert Steel Company. They had a stock purchase agreement requiring surviving shareholders to buy a deceased shareholder's stock at book value. Following the death of Arthur Decker in 1953 and William Decker in 1954, the company redeemed stock from the surviving shareholders (John Decker, James Reichert, and John Reichert III). The company paid the survivors the same amount they had paid the estates of the deceased shareholders. The company held the stock as treasury stock and later sold some of it to key employees. The IRS determined that the payments made by the corporation to the surviving stockholders were distributions essentially equivalent to dividends.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the income tax of the shareholders for the years 1953 and 1954, arguing that payments received were taxable as dividends. The shareholders petitioned the United States Tax Court to challenge the IRS's determination. The Tax Court consolidated the cases and heard the matter, ultimately ruling in favor of the taxpayers.

Issue(s)

Whether payments made by Decker-Reichert Steel Company to the surviving shareholders in 1953 and 1954 were distributions essentially equivalent to a dividend under Section 115(g) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 and Sections 301 and 302 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, respectively.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

No, because the payments were not essentially equivalent to dividends under the relevant sections of the Internal Revenue Code.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court examined whether the redemptions were

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.