LeMaire v. United States, 31 T.C. 168 (1958)

Stipends received by individuals from an agency of the United States are excludable from gross income as scholarships or fellowship grants under Section 117 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, provided the recipient meets the specific conditions set forth in the statute, including the stipulation that the grantor of the scholarship be an agency or instrumentality of the United States.

Summary

The case concerns whether stipends received by petitioners while attending the Oak Ridge School of Reactor Technology were excludable from gross income as scholarships. The petitioners argued that the Atomic Energy Commission (AEC), an agency of the United States, granted these stipends. The Tax Court found the petitioners failed to demonstrate that the AEC, via its relationship with a private corporation operating the school under contract, qualified as the grantor of the scholarship under the relevant statute. Without the contract between the AEC and the operating corporation, the court could not determine the exact nature of their relationship and whether the stipends qualified for the tax exclusion.

Facts

The petitioners were not candidates for a degree and received stipends while attending the Oak Ridge School of Reactor Technology. The school was operated by a private, for-profit corporation (Carbide) under contract with the AEC. The petitioners claimed the stipends were scholarships excludable from gross income under Section 117 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. The IRS determined the stipends constituted taxable compensation.

Procedural History

The case began as a petition in the United States Tax Court challenging the IRS's determination that the stipends were taxable income. The Tax Court examined the facts, focusing on the relationship between the AEC and Carbide, and issued a decision sustaining the IRS's determination.

Issue(s)

Whether the monthly stipends received by the petitioners while attending the Oak Ridge School of Reactor Technology are excludable from gross income as scholarships or fellowship grants under Section 117 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954.

Holding

No, because the petitioners failed to demonstrate that the AEC, an agency of the United States, was the grantor of the scholarship under the requirements of Section

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

117, specifically, the court did not have the agreement between the AEC and Carbide to determine if the AEC was the grantor.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied Section 117 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, which provides rules for excluding scholarship and fellowship grants from gross income. Section 117(a)(2)(A) specifically states that for non-degree candidates, the exclusion applies if the grantor is an agency of the United States. The court noted the burden was on the petitioners to establish that the conditions for exclusion were met. The court observed the absence of the contract between the AEC and Carbide, which operated the school, prevented it from determining the true nature of their relationship and whether the AEC was truly the grantor of the scholarship.

The court relied on a previous case, *Robert W. Teskey*, which considered a similar exclusion provision. The Court stated, "Since the record establishes that petitioners were not candidates for a degree, they have the burden of showing that the conditions for exclusion provided for in subsection (a)(2)(A) of section 117 have been met." The Court concluded that the absence of the relevant agreement was fatal to the petitioners' case, preventing the court from determining if the AEC was indeed the grantor of the scholarships.

Practical Implications

The decision emphasizes the importance of clear evidence in tax cases, specifically when claiming an exclusion. The petitioners' failure to provide the underlying agreement prevented the Court from determining whether the conditions of the exclusion applied. This case stresses that taxpayers must substantiate their claims with sufficient documentation. It highlights the need to determine the actual source of funds when governmental agencies are involved. Legal practitioners should ensure that they gather all relevant documents, especially contracts, to establish the necessary conditions for exclusions under tax laws.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.