

### **31 T.C. 902 (1959)**

When a contract for the purchase of inventory is an integral part of a business and a taxpayer sells rights under that contract, the gain realized is considered ordinary income, not capital gains.

#### **Summary**

The Mansfield Journal Company, a newspaper publisher, entered into a long-term contract to purchase newsprint. Facing a market where they could sell the newsprint for more than the purchase price, they assigned portions of their contract rights to other publishers, receiving payments. The IRS argued that the payments constituted ordinary income, while the company claimed they were capital gains from the sale of a capital asset. The Tax Court agreed with the IRS, holding that the transactions were an integral part of the company's business of securing an inventory supply and should be taxed as ordinary income.

#### **Facts**

The Mansfield Journal Company (petitioner), published the Mansfield News-Journal and entered into a 10-year contract with Coosa River Newsprint Co. to purchase 1,000 tons of newsprint annually. The petitioner also owned stock in Coosa River. In 1951, and again in 1952, the petitioner assigned portions of its contract rights to other publishers, receiving payments. The payments represented the difference between the contracted price and the spot market price for newsprint at the time. The petitioner reported these payments as capital gains.

#### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the petitioner's income tax for 1951 and 1952, asserting that the payments received from the assignments were ordinary income. The petitioner contested this determination, and the case went to the United States Tax Court.

#### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the payments received by the petitioner in 1951 and 1952 from the assignment of its newsprint contract were ordinary income or capital gain.

#### **Holding**

1. No, because the transactions were an integral part of the petitioner's ordinary business operations and concerned its inventory of newsprint.

#### **Court's Reasoning**

The court relied on the rationale of *Corn Products Refining Co. v. Commissioner*,

stating that transactions relating to inventory, which are an integral part of the taxpayer's business, do not result in capital gains, even if the item involved might otherwise be considered a capital asset. The court found that the petitioner's newsprint contract was essential for ensuring an adequate supply of inventory at a stable price, making it an integral aspect of the petitioner's business. The court viewed the assignments as anticipatory arrangements for delivering its contracted newsprint rather than as a sale of a capital asset. The court also noted that the arrangements were similar to hedging transactions, which further supported the classification of the income as ordinary income. The court also dismissed the applicability of cases cited by the petitioner.

## **Practical Implications**

This case is significant for any business that contracts for the purchase of inventory. It establishes that gains from transactions related to these contracts may be treated as ordinary income if the contract is an integral part of the business's operations. Specifically, the case clarifies that:

- If a contract serves to assure a stable supply of a critical inventory item, it is likely considered an integral part of the business.
- Assigning rights or otherwise disposing of assets related to these contracts will lead to ordinary income taxation, not capital gains.
- Businesses should carefully analyze the purpose of their contracts and the nature of their transactions to determine the correct tax treatment.

This case has been cited in subsequent cases involving the tax treatment of transactions related to inventory and business operations. The court's focus on the substance over the form of the transaction emphasizes the importance of understanding the economic reality of business dealings for tax purposes. This ruling has been applied in various contexts, including commodity trading and other hedging transactions, as well as in the sale of other kinds of contracts.