### 31 T.C. 874 (1959)

An estate tax deduction for a charitable bequest is disallowed if the possibility that the charity will not receive the bequest is not so remote as to be negligible, particularly when the bequest is contingent upon external factors like the charity's ability to raise matching funds.

### **Summary**

The United States Tax Court considered whether the Estate of John C. Polster could deduct a charitable bequest from its estate tax. Polster's will established a trust to provide annuities for his children, with the remainder designated for the construction of Pentecostal Holiness Church buildings. However, the will stipulated the trust corpus could only cover up to 25% of the building costs. The court held that the deduction was not allowable because the charity's receipt of the bequest was contingent on factors outside the estate's control - namely, the church's ability to raise the remaining 75% of the construction costs. Since this condition introduced significant uncertainty, the possibility of the charity not receiving the bequest was not considered negligible, thus the estate could not claim the deduction.

#### **Facts**

John C. Polster died in 1952. His will left a portion of his estate in trust to provide annuities for his son and daughter. Upon their deaths, the trust was to be used for the purchase, building, or construction of church buildings and structures for the Pentecostal Holiness Church, Inc. However, the will specified that the trust corpus could be used for no more than 25% of each project's cost. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the estate's claimed charitable deduction, arguing that the beguest was conditional and that the possibility the charity would not take was not negligible.

#### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in estate tax. The executors of the Estate of John C. Polster contested the deficiency in the United States Tax Court, asserting that the bequest to the church was deductible under Section 812(d) of the 1939 Internal Revenue Code. The Tax Court reviewed the case and ultimately sided with the Commissioner, disallowing the deduction.

### Issue(s)

- 1. Whether the estate's beguest to the Pentecostal Holiness Church qualified for a charitable deduction under Section 812(d) of the 1939 Internal Revenue Code?
- 2. Whether the contingency that the church would have to provide 75% of the construction costs rendered the possibility the charity would not take so remote as to be negligible, in light of section 81.46 of Regulations 105?

## Holding

- 1. No, because the bequest was not an unconditional transfer to the charity.
- 2. No, because the possibility the charity might not receive the full beguest was not negligible.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied Section 812(d) of the 1939 Code, which allowed deductions for beguests to religious organizations. The court also considered Section 81.46 of Regulations 105, which stated that a deduction for a charitable beguest is disallowed if, at the time of the decedent's death, the transfer to charity is dependent on the performance of some act or the happening of a precedent event, unless the possibility that charity will not take is so remote as to be negligible. The court found the bequest was conditional because the church's receipt of funds depended on its ability to provide 75% of construction costs. The court highlighted that the church would have to obtain a firm financial commitment. The court found that, given the financial circumstances of the church and the need for the church to raise additional funds, the possibility the church would not receive the bequest was not negligible. "Where a bequest is not outright in the sense of being wholly unconditional...there are various difficulties which must be dealt with in determining whether a deduction therefor is allowable".

# **Practical Implications**

This case highlights the importance of making charitable bequests clear and unconditional to qualify for estate tax deductions. Attorneys should advise clients to ensure that any conditions attached to a charitable bequest are minimal and certain to be fulfilled, or to consider alternative arrangements that do not introduce significant uncertainty. The case indicates that the courts will scrutinize the financial viability of the charity. The case affirms the IRS's rigorous stance on conditional bequests, emphasizing that the likelihood of the charity receiving the beguest must be virtually assured at the time of the testator's death to warrant a deduction. This case illustrates how to determine the probability of a charity receiving the beguest, taking into account the charity's financial status and their ability to meet the conditions of the bequest. Subsequent cases will likely cite this ruling in disputes over charitable estate tax deductions involving bequests to charities contingent on third-party actions or fundraising efforts.