Radio Station WBIR, Inc. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 31 T.C. 803 (1959)

Expenditures incurred in obtaining a television construction permit and license are capital expenditures, not deductible as ordinary business expenses, because the license is a capital asset with an indefinite useful life.

Summary

Radio Station WBIR, an AM/FM radio broadcaster, sought to deduct legal, engineering, and other fees incurred while applying for a television construction permit and license before the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The IRS disallowed the deduction, deeming these costs capital expenditures. The Tax Court sided with the IRS, ruling that these expenses were for the acquisition of a capital asset (the television license) and, thus, not deductible as ordinary business expenses. The court also denied the station's claim for accelerated depreciation on its FM equipment due to claimed obsolescence.

Facts

Radio Station WBIR operated AM and FM radio stations. Seeing the potential of television, the station applied for a construction permit for a television station. This application triggered competitive hearings before the FCC. WBIR incurred substantial legal and engineering fees during these proceedings in 1953. Ultimately, WBIR was granted the construction permit in 1956. WBIR's application for a television license was still pending when this case was heard in 1958. WBIR claimed a deduction for these expenses as ordinary business expenses. Additionally, WBIR sought to depreciate its FM equipment over five years, claiming "extraordinary obsolescence" due to the rise of television.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined tax deficiencies, disallowing the deduction of expenses related to the television license application and denying the accelerated depreciation of FM equipment. Radio Station WBIR appealed this decision to the United States Tax Court.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the expenditures for the television construction permit application are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses, or are capital expenditures?

2. If capitalized, whether these expenditures can be recovered through annual depreciation?

3. Whether Radio Station WBIR is entitled to compute allowable depreciation for its

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 

FM facilities on a 5-year useful life due to “extraordinary obsolescence”?

Holding

1. No, because the expenditures for the television construction permit are capital expenditures.

2. No, because the TV license had not yet been granted, thus, amortization of the costs of obtaining the license was premature.

3. No, because the taxpayer did not demonstrate that economic conditions were shortening the FM equipment’s useful life and that they intended to abandon it.

Court's Reasoning

The court framed the central issue as whether the expenses were for a new business venture (television) or for the existing business of broadcasting. It concluded that the television license, if granted, would be a capital asset. The court found that the expenditures were made to acquire a capital asset with a useful life exceeding one year. The court cited the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, Section 24(a)(2), as the reason for denying the deduction. The court reasoned that the nature of the expenditure, not the success of the application, determines whether costs must be capitalized. The court emphasized that a television license gives the holder an exclusive privilege, enhancing the station’s sale value, and thus constitutes a capital asset. The court further held that since the license had not yet been granted and was still subject to ongoing litigation, the station was not allowed to amortize expenses. The court noted that the fact that it could not be determined when (or if) a license would be issued did not alter the nature of the expenses.

The court also rejected the obsolescence claim, saying that to deduct obsolescence the taxpayer had to show an intent to abandon the facility. The court referenced regulation section 39.23(l)-6, that stated that the taxpayer must show that the property will be abandoned prior to the end of its normal useful life. The court noted that there was no indication of such an intention by WBIR, and they were still using their FM equipment. The court said that the burden was on the taxpayer to prove the claimed obsolescence, and that it failed to do so.

Practical Implications

This case is crucial for businesses applying for licenses, permits, or franchises. Legal professionals must recognize that expenses associated with acquiring such assets are generally not deductible as current business expenses. They should be capitalized and potentially depreciated (if the asset is depreciable), or amortized over the asset’s useful life, if it is an intangible asset. It is also critical for practitioners to understand that even unsuccessful attempts to acquire licenses or franchises result in capital expenditures. The case emphasizes that even in an evolving business environment, such as the radio and television industries, the core

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 

principles of tax law relating to capital expenditures remain central. The case reinforces the concept that expenses incurred in acquiring assets with a lasting benefit are treated differently from those related to day-to-day operations. The court's analysis regarding the distinction between operating a business and entering a new business is significant for any company looking to expand into new markets requiring licenses or permits.

Later cases dealing with similar issues, especially concerning the costs associated with obtaining broadcasting licenses, often cite this decision to support the capitalization of such expenses.

Practitioners should advise their clients to maintain accurate records of all expenses associated with the application process, as these may be recoverable upon disposition of the license or franchise.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 