30 T.C. 1315 (1958)

Corporate distributions to or on behalf of a stockholder are taxable as dividends to the extent of available earnings and profits; payments not supported by earnings are treated as capital gain, and business expenses are deductible.

Summary

The case involves a dispute over the tax treatment of payments made by a corporation to its shareholder, Lou Levy. The IRS contended these payments were taxable dividends. The Tax Court held that the payments constituted dividends only to the extent of the corporation's current earnings and profits. Payments exceeding those earnings were treated as capital gains. Furthermore, the court addressed the deductibility of certain business expenses incurred by Levy in his capacity as an artists' representative, including payments to an attorney and investments in developing a singer's talent and a story outline.

Facts

Lou Levy and the Andrews Sisters formed a partnership and then a corporation, Andrews Sisters Eight To The Bar Ranch, Inc. Levy was a shareholder and officer. The corporation received royalties from the Andrews Sisters' recordings under an agreement with Decca Records. During the tax year, the corporation made payments to and on behalf of Levy. The IRS determined these payments constituted taxable dividends. Levy also sought to deduct payments for legal services, talent development, and a story outline for a motion picture.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Lou Levy's income tax for the year 1951. Levy challenged the deficiency in the U.S. Tax Court, claiming that the corporate payments were not taxable as dividends or were offset by a debt, and that certain expenses were deductible. The Tax Court heard the case and issued a decision.

Issue(s)

1. Whether payments made by a corporation to a shareholder-employee are taxable as dividends?

2. Whether payments made to an attorney by an artist's representative are deductible as a business expense?

3. Whether payments made to develop a singer's talent are deductible as a business expense?

4. Whether payments made for a story outline for a motion picture are deductible as

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

a business expense or as a loss?

Holding

1. Yes, because the payments constituted dividends to the extent of the corporation's current earnings and profits. The remaining amount was taxed as capital gains.

2. Yes, because the payments were ordinary and necessary business expenses.

3. Yes, because the payments were proximately related to the taxpayer's business and were ordinary and necessary expenses.

4. Yes, the payment resulted in a loss deductible under section 23(e)(1) since it was a loss incurred in petitioner's trade or business.

Court's Reasoning

The court first addressed whether the corporate payments to Levy were loans or payments related to a debt the corporation owed Levy. The court found no evidence of a loan agreement, and that the corporation had sufficient current earnings and profits to cover a portion of the payments, rendering them taxable dividends. The court then addressed the claimed deductions. The payment to the attorney was deemed an ordinary and necessary business expense. The payments to the singer were also deductible, as they were part of Levy's efforts to promote talent. Finally, the payment for the story outline was treated as a deductible loss, as the project was abandoned and the investment was connected to Levy's business as an artist's representative. "Considering the nature of his business, we think they were proximately related thereto and are deductible as ordinary and necessary expenses."

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.