F. E. McGillick Company, et al., Petitioners, v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 30 T.C. 1130 (1958)

To qualify for tax exemption under 26 U.S.C. § 101(6), an organization must be organized and operated "exclusively" for charitable purposes, and no part of its income may inure to the benefit of any private individual.

Summary

The United States Tax Court addressed whether the Francis Edward McGillick Foundation qualified for tax-exempt status under 26 U.S.C. § 101(6). The Foundation, created by F.E. McGillick, was tasked with fulfilling obligations detailed in McGillick's will, which included annuities and bequests to private individuals. The court held the Foundation did not meet the "exclusively" requirement because its income could be used to satisfy McGillick's personal obligations, therefore benefiting private individuals. Additionally, the F.E. McGillick Company, a for-profit real estate business, was denied exemption because it was not organized or operated for an exclusively charitable purpose. The court also addressed issues of dividend treatment, reasonable compensation, and penalties for failure to file tax returns.

Facts

F. E. McGillick created the Francis Edward McGillick Foundation, which was tasked with fulfilling obligations outlined in his will, such as paying funeral and administrative expenses, certain legacies, and annuities to his family members. The Foundation's primary activities involved managing real estate, generating income from rentals, and selling real estate properties. The Foundation applied for tax-exempt status, which was denied. F. E. McGillick Company, a for-profit real estate business, was also a petitioner in this case. The IRS determined deficiencies in income taxes and penalties for both entities, prompting this litigation. The Foundation's income was accumulated and not immediately distributed to charitable causes. The Company's property was transferred to the Foundation, which continued to manage it.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined income tax deficiencies and penalties against the Francis Edward McGillick Foundation and F. E. McGillick Company. The petitioners contested these determinations in the United States Tax Court. The court consolidated the cases, heard arguments, and made its findings of fact and opinion.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the Francis Edward McGillick Foundation was exempt from income taxes under 26 U.S.C. § 101(6).

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

2. If not exempt under § 101(6), (a) was the Foundation entitled to deductions for income devoted to charity under § 162(a); (b) did distributions of property by the F. E. McGillick Company to the Foundation constitute taxable dividends; (c) was $10,000 reasonable compensation for F. E. McGillick; (d) was the Foundation subject to penalties for failing to file returns?
3. Whether the F. E. McGillick Company was exempt from income taxes under 26 U.S.C. § 101(6).
4. If not exempt, (a) did the Company realize a taxable gain from an exchange of property; (b) was $10,000 reasonable compensation for F.E. McGillick; (c) was the Company subject to penalties for failure to file returns?
5. Whether the income of the Francis Edward McGillick Foundation was taxable to F. E. McGillick under the provisions of sections 166 or 167.
6. Whether F. E. McGillick is liable for additions to tax under section 294(d)(1) for failure to file a declaration of estimated tax for 1952.

Holding

1. No, because the Foundation was not organized and operated “exclusively” for charitable purposes.
2. Yes, because the income could be used to benefit private individuals. The court sustained the Commissioner’s determinations.
3. No, because it was not organized for charitable purposes.
4. Yes, the Company realized a taxable gain, and the court sustained the Commissioner’s determinations.
5. No.
6. Yes.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court analyzed whether the Foundation met the requirements for tax exemption under 26 U.S.C. § 101(6). The court focused on the “exclusively” requirement, which mandates that an organization be operated solely for charitable purposes. The court found that because the Foundation’s income could be used to pay McGillick’s personal obligations, such as administration expenses and future taxes, it could not be considered to be operated “exclusively” for charitable purposes. The court stated, “…it seems clear that the administration expenses of McGillick’s estate, as well as all kinds of his taxes and certain debts, are payable in the future out of these funds. This is a direct benefit to him, increasing the private wealth which he can safely dispose of in his lifetime.” Furthermore, the court noted that the Company was not organized and operated exclusively for charitable purposes, thus failing to qualify for exemption under the statute. The court also considered the dividend treatment, reasonable compensation, and penalties, supporting the Commissioner’s determinations. The court held that, since the trust was not revocable, section 166 did not apply. Moreover, since the income of the Foundation was not immediately distributable to charity, the court did not allow a deduction under section 162(a). The court affirmed that the company was liable for

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

gain on the exchange of property, as it was a taxable transaction under section 111. Penalties were also properly imposed.

Practical Implications

This case underscores the strict interpretation of the “exclusively” requirement in tax law. Legal professionals must carefully scrutinize the governing documents and operational practices of an organization seeking tax-exempt status under § 101(6). The ruling highlights that even if a charitable organization has a purpose of benefiting charity, it will not qualify for tax-exempt status under section 101(6) if its income or assets can be used to benefit private individuals. Therefore, any provisions in a trust instrument that could potentially benefit a grantor, or other private individuals, will likely disqualify the trust. It is essential to ensure that no part of an organization’s net earnings inure to the benefit of any private shareholder or individual. If an organization has significant non-charitable purposes, it will not be considered to be organized and operated exclusively for charitable purposes and therefore will not be exempt.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.