MacCrowe v. Commissioner, 19 T.C. 667 (1953)

Income derived from illegal activities is subject to federal income tax, and the burden of proof lies with the taxpayer to demonstrate that the Commissioner of Internal Revenue's assessment of tax is incorrect.

Summary

The case concerns a physician, MacCrowe, who performed illegal abortions and failed to report his income from these activities. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in MacCrowe's income tax for 1948 and 1949, based on an estimate of his unreported income from his illegal operations. The Tax Court found that the Commissioner's estimates were reasonable and that MacCrowe had failed to provide sufficient evidence to overcome the presumption of correctness afforded to the Commissioner's determinations. The Court affirmed the Commissioner's assessment, holding that income from illegal activities is taxable and that the taxpayer bears the burden of proving the Commissioner's calculations are incorrect.

Facts

Albert E. MacCrowe, a physician, performed illegal abortions in Baltimore, Maryland, during 1948 and 1949. He kept no financial records of his illegal income. MacCrowe reported income from his medical practice on his tax returns, but grossly underestimated his gross receipts from illegal operations. The Commissioner, upon investigation, determined significant unreported income from MacCrowe's illegal activities and assessed income tax deficiencies. The Commissioner's calculations were based on the number of operations performed and the standard fee charged. MacCrowe died in 1950, and his widow contested the assessments. The only remaining issue was the amount of gross receipts MacCrowe received from illegal operations in 1948 and 1949.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined tax deficiencies and additions to tax. The Tax Court initially heard the case, and some issues were decided at that time. The Fourth Circuit remanded the case to the Tax Court on the remaining issue of gross receipts from illegal operations for new findings. The parties agreed to submit the case to the Tax Court based on the existing record. The Tax Court reviewed the record, found the Commissioner's assessment was accurate, and entered a decision for the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the income received by MacCrowe from the performance of illegal operations on women is taxable.
2. Whether the Commissioner's estimation of MacCrowe's gross receipts from

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

illegal operations was reasonable and supported by the evidence.
3. Whether the taxpayers met their burden of proof to show the Commissioner's determination of deficiencies was incorrect.

Holding

1. Yes, income from illegal activities is taxable.
2. Yes, the Commissioner's estimation of MacCrowe's gross receipts was reasonable.
3. No, the taxpayers did not meet their burden of proof.

Court's Reasoning

The court held that the Commissioner's determination of a tax deficiency is presumed correct, and the taxpayer bears the burden of proving the error. The court found that MacCrowe kept no records, making it difficult to ascertain the exact income. However, the court found that there was sufficient evidence to support the Commissioner's determination. The court considered testimony from MacCrowe's employees, who testified about the number of operations and the standard fee charged. The court emphasized that MacCrowe was performing a heavy schedule of illegal operations and that patients were plentiful. The court also considered MacCrowe's purchase of tablets used in the operations as evidence. The court found that the petitioners' evidence was insufficient to rebut the Commissioner's calculations and that the Commissioner's determination of gross receipts was not arbitrary or capricious. The court stated, "The determination of a deficiency by the Commissioner is presumed to be correct and the taxpayer has the burden of proof to show that the Commissioner erred in some respect and overstated the income."

Practical Implications

This case underscores several critical principles for tax law practitioners:

1. **Taxability of Illegal Income:** Income from illegal activities is treated the same as income from legal activities; both are subject to tax. Taxpayers cannot avoid tax liability simply because the source of the income is unlawful.
2. **Burden of Proof:** Taxpayers bear the burden of demonstrating the IRS's determination is incorrect. This case highlights the importance of maintaining adequate records to support claims. Without sufficient records, the taxpayer is at a significant disadvantage when contesting the IRS's assessment.
3. **Reasonable Estimates:** When a taxpayer fails to maintain proper records, the IRS can use reasonable methods to estimate income. Courts will uphold the IRS's estimates if they are based on credible evidence.
4. **Evidentiary Standards:** This case demonstrates that a lack of direct evidence of income does not necessarily defeat the IRS's case. The court relied on circumstantial evidence (e.g., employee testimony, tablet purchases) to determine the taxpayer's income.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The case is still cited for the principle that illegal income is taxable. Furthermore, the case teaches that the burden is on the taxpayer to show that the Commissioner's assessment is wrong. Practitioners need to understand that estimates are permissible when direct evidence is lacking and that the taxpayer is responsible for proving the IRS's methodology or calculations are flawed.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.