30 T.C. 346 (1958)

Payments made by a corporation to the widow of a deceased officer, as a memorial, are not considered abnormal deductions that should be eliminated in calculating the excess profits tax credit if they are not a consequence of an increase in gross income, a decrease in other deductions, or a change in the business.

Summary

In this case, the United States Tax Court considered whether payments made by Ryan Construction Corporation and Feigel Construction Corporation to the widow of their deceased president constituted abnormal deductions that should be eliminated when calculating the corporations' excess profits tax credits. The court held that the payments were abnormal deductions, but they did not need to be eliminated because they were not a consequence of an increase in gross income, a decrease in other deductions, or a change in the businesses. This case provides guidance on the interpretation of excess profits tax regulations, particularly regarding abnormal deductions during base periods.

Facts

Roy Ryan, president of both Ryan Construction Corporation (Ryan) and Feigel Construction Corporation (Feigel), died in a train accident in January 1948. Following his death, each corporation's board of directors passed resolutions to pay Ryan's widow, Carrie E. Ryan, an amount equal to his salary for two years as a memorial. Ryan's resolution authorized payments of $50,000 in installments, and Feigel's authorized payments of $1,250 per month for two years. Both corporations deducted these payments as business expenses on their income tax returns. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue initially denied the deductions but later allowed them in full. The issue before the court was whether these payments were abnormal deductions that should be eliminated when calculating the corporations' excess profits tax credits for their base period years under sections 433 (b) (9), 433 (b) (10)(C)(i), and 433 (b)(10)(C)(ii) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939.

Procedural History

The case was heard in the United States Tax Court. The Tax Court considered the facts based on a stipulation of facts and introduced exhibits. The court consolidated the cases for trial due to the similarity of the issues presented. The court ruled in favor of the petitioners, finding the payments to Carrie Ryan were not the type of abnormal deduction that should be disallowed under the relevant statutes.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the payments made to Carrie Ryan were a cause or consequence of an increase in the gross income of the corporations in their base period years.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

2. Whether the payments made to Carrie Ryan were a cause or consequence of a decrease in the amount of some other deduction in their base period years.

3. Whether the payments made to Carrie Ryan were a consequence of a change at any time in the type, manner of operation, size, or condition of the businesses.

Holding

1. No, because the payments were not a cause or consequence of increased gross income.

2. No, because the payments were not a cause or consequence of a decrease in other deductions.

3. No, because the payments were not a consequence of a change in the type, manner of operation, size, or condition of the businesses.

Court's Reasoning

The court analyzed the abnormal deductions under the provisions of Internal Revenue Code of 1939. The court determined the payments were abnormal deductions, but the issue was whether they should be eliminated from excess profits tax calculations. The court noted that, under the relevant statutes, such deductions should not be eliminated unless the taxpayer failed to establish that the increase in such deductions (1) was not a cause or a consequence of an increase in gross income or a decrease in some other deduction, and (2) was not a consequence of a change in the business. The court found that the payments were not a cause or consequence of increased gross income because the payments were a consequence of Roy Ryan's death, not of the gross income generated from his prior work. "Rather, they were a consequence of Roy's death and of the decision of petitioners' boards of directors to pay to Carrie a gratuity, as a memorial to Roy." The court found that the reduction in officers' salary accounts was caused by Roy's death, not the payments, and therefore, there was no cause-and-effect relationship. Finally, the court determined that the payments were not a consequence of any changes in the type, manner of operation, size, or condition of the business. The court emphasized that the statute refers to the "consequence" of a change, not the "cause".

Practical Implications

This case provides guidance for businesses on whether certain payments are considered abnormal deductions for the purposes of excess profits tax calculations. The case illustrates that payments to the widow of a deceased employee, made as a memorial, may be classified as abnormal deductions. However, the case establishes that the payments will not be eliminated in the excess profits tax calculation if those payments did not result from any changes in the business or were not tied to changes in income or other deductions. This case emphasizes the importance of establishing the reasons behind payments and how those reasons fit within the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

requirements set by tax law. Moreover, it clarifies that fluctuations in different expense accounts, absent a direct link, do not necessarily establish a cause-and-effect relationship. It also illustrates that the court will interpret the tax laws as written.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.