

Chicago Stock Yards Co. v. Commissioner, 21 T.C. 639 (1954)

The sale of treasury stock does not constitute “money paid in for stock” for the purpose of calculating the excess profits tax credit under the Internal Revenue Code of 1939.

Summary

The Chicago Stock Yards Company purchased its own stock, held it in its treasury, and later resold it to employees. The company sought to include the proceeds from these sales as “money paid in for stock” when calculating its excess profits tax credit under the Internal Revenue Code of 1939. The Tax Court ruled against the company, holding that the sale of treasury stock did not qualify as money paid in for stock, based on the established Treasury regulations treating treasury stock as an inadmissible asset. This case highlights the importance of understanding the specific definitions and regulations within tax law, especially when dealing with complex calculations like excess profits tax.

Facts

Chicago Stock Yards Co. (the “taxpayer”) purchased 900 shares of its own common stock in 1948 and held them in its treasury. The stock was purchased to resell to two employees under an employment agreement. The company sold 282 shares in 1951 and 476 shares in 1952 to its employees. The company reported the unsold treasury shares as assets on its balance sheets. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined that the proceeds from the sale of these treasury shares were not a capital addition under the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 for calculating the excess profits tax credit.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in the taxpayer’s income tax for the years 1951 and 1952. The Tax Court heard the case after the taxpayer contested the Commissioner’s ruling. The Tax Court decided in favor of the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

Whether the proceeds received by the taxpayer from the sale of its treasury stock to its employees constituted “money * * * paid in for stock” as defined in section 435 (g) (3) (A) of the I.R.C. 1939, for purposes of calculating the excess profits tax credit.

Holding

No, because the Court held that the sale of treasury stock does not qualify as “money paid in for stock.”

Court's Reasoning

The court analyzed the statutory language of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, specifically section 435 (g) (3) (A), which defines the daily capital addition. The court focused on whether the proceeds from the sale of treasury stock constituted “money paid in for stock.” The court deferred to the Commissioner’s regulations that treated treasury stock as an inadmissible asset. The court stated that the regulations are reasonable and should be followed, particularly given that they have been in place for a long time without substantial change. The court reasoned that treasury stock represents an inadmissible asset, and therefore, its sale does not constitute money paid in for stock for the purposes of computing the excess profits credit. The court also noted that if the original shareholder had sold the shares directly to the employees instead of to the corporation and then the employees, there would be no change in the corporation’s capital structure.

Practical Implications

This case emphasizes the importance of adhering to established Treasury regulations when interpreting tax law, particularly in complex areas like excess profits tax. Businesses cannot treat the sale of treasury stock as a contribution to capital when calculating the excess profits tax credit. This ruling has practical implications for corporations that repurchase their stock and subsequently resell it, specifically for employee stock option plans, as those transactions will not affect the excess profits credit calculation. This case underscores that the substance of a transaction, as defined by regulations, is more important than its form. It also underscores that the tax consequences of a transaction can depend heavily on the specific definitions and regulations in place at the time of the transaction.