29 T.C. 366 (1957)

Loans made by a taxpayer to a corporation are deductible as business bad debts if they are proximately related to the taxpayer's trade or business, even if the corporation is a separate entity.

Summary

Lawrence Weil, engaged in the jewelry box business as a sole proprietor in Buffalo, New York, formed a California corporation to expand sales in the Western states. To support this new corporation, Weil made several loans. When the California corporation failed and could not repay the loans, Weil sought to deduct these unpaid loans as business bad debts on his federal income tax return. The Tax Court determined that these loans were indeed proximately related to Weil's original jewelry box business and were therefore deductible as business bad debts under Section 23(k)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939.

Facts

Lawrence Weil operated a sole proprietorship, Electric City Box Company (Buffalo company), manufacturing and selling jewelry cases and related products. A significant portion of his business was in California and the Western states.

To retain his key western salesman, Kirsch, and to reduce shipping costs, Weil formed Electric City Box Company of California, Inc. (California corporation) in 1946.

Weil was the majority stockholder of the California corporation and transferred stock to Kirsch as an incentive.

The California corporation was intended to manufacture and sell similar products in the West, complementing Weil's Buffalo business and acting as a sales outlet for his products.

The California corporation purchased products from Weil's Buffalo company and also sold products manufactured by the Buffalo company.

Weil made loans totaling $64,256.25 to the California corporation to support its operations.

The California corporation failed in 1950 and could not repay the loans, rendering the debt worthless.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Weil's income tax for 1950, disallowing the bad debt deduction claimed for the loans to the California

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

corporation.

Weil's estate petitioned the Tax Court to contest the Commissioner's determination.

The Tax Court reversed the Commissioner's determination, holding that the bad debt was a business bad debt.

Issue(s)

1. Whether loans made by Lawrence Weil to the Electric City Box Company of California, Inc., were proximately related to his trade or business as a sole proprietor operating Electric City Box Company in Buffalo, New York.
2. Whether the worthless debt resulting from these loans should be classified as a business bad debt deductible under Section 23(k)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 or a nonbusiness bad debt subject to the limitations of Section 23(k)(4).

Holding

1. Yes, the loans were proximately related to Weil's Buffalo business because the California corporation was formed to support and expand Weil's existing business in the Western states.
2. The worthless debt is classified as a business bad debt deductible in full under Section 23(k)(1) because it was proximately related to Weil's trade or business.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court relied on the principle that whether a debt is a business bad debt is a question of fact, citing *Commissioner v. Smith*, 203 F.2d 310 (1953). The court emphasized that the loans were made to the California corporation to further and stimulate sales of Weil's Buffalo company in the Western states. The court stated, "Whether a particular loss or expense is incurred in a taxpayer's trade or business is a question of fact in each particular case."

The court found a direct and proximate relationship between Weil's Buffalo business and the loans to the California corporation. The California corporation was created to solve business problems for Weil's sole proprietorship, such as retaining a key salesman and reducing shipping costs. The court noted that the California corporation acted as a sales outlet for Weil's Buffalo products and manufactured complementary items.

The court distinguished this case from *Commissioner v. Smith*, where the Second Circuit reversed the Tax Court, finding that Smith was not in the business of organizing and financing corporations. In *Weil*, the court emphasized that the loans were directly tied to maintaining and expanding Weil's existing business, not a separate investment activity.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court concluded, "…in our opinion the loans which Weil made to the California corporation aggregating $ 64,256.25 were proximately related to or connected with his Buffalo business, and petitioners are entitled to have them deducted in full under section 23 (k) (1) and not deducted under the limitations prescribed by section 23 (k) (4)."

Practical Implications

Estate of Weil v. Commissioner clarifies the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.