29 T.C. 313 (1957)

Amended claims for excess profits tax relief, filed after the statute of limitations had expired, seeking unused excess profits credit carryovers and carrybacks based on constructive average base period net income, were barred because they introduced new grounds for relief not disclosed in the timely filed original applications.

Summary

Feature Publications, Inc. sought excess profits tax relief for 1943 and 1944, filing timely applications for a constructive average base period net income (CABPNI). After the statute of limitations ran, the company filed amended applications requesting unused excess profits credit carryovers and carrybacks from other years, based on the requested CABPNI for each of those years. The Tax Court held that the amended applications were barred by the statute of limitations, as they introduced new claims for relief. The court reasoned that these new claims required the examination of new matters that were not disclosed by the investigation of the original claims. The decision underscores the importance of adhering to filing deadlines and properly presenting all claims for relief within the prescribed time, particularly when complex tax calculations are involved.

Facts

Feature Publications, Inc., a New York corporation, published and sold a comic magazine. For 1943 and 1944, the company reported and paid excess profits tax and filed timely applications for relief under Section 722 of the 1939 Internal Revenue Code, claiming a specific CABPNI. The Excess Profits Tax Council determined a lower CABPNI than originally claimed by the company. After learning of the lower determination and the running of the statute of limitations, Feature Publications filed amended applications claiming unused excess profits credit carryovers and carrybacks from 1941, 1942, and 1946, respectively, for the purpose of maximizing their tax benefits. The Commissioner disallowed these claims, and the Tax Court upheld the disallowance.

Procedural History

Feature Publications, Inc. filed timely applications for excess profits tax relief for 1943 and 1944 under Section 722 of the 1939 Code. The applications were reviewed by the Excess Profits Tax Council, which determined a CABPNI for each year. The company then filed “amended” applications after the statute of limitations had expired, requesting unused excess profits credit carryovers and carrybacks, which were denied by the Commissioner. Feature Publications appealed the Commissioner’s decision to the United States Tax Court.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the statute of limitations barred the “amended” applications for relief

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

that were filed after the limitations period had expired.

Holding

1. Yes, because the amended applications introduced new grounds for relief and required examination of new matters not disclosed by the original claims, and were therefore barred by the statute of limitations.

Court's Reasoning

The court found that the amended applications were barred by the statute of limitations because they introduced a new basis for relief. The original applications focused on a CABPNI for the years in question. The amended claims, however, sought to introduce unused excess profits credit carryovers and carrybacks from other years based on a CABPNI. These claims, the court reasoned, required investigation into new facts and circumstances not disclosed by the investigation of the original claims. The court cited several precedents, including *Lockhart Creamery*, to support this conclusion, emphasizing that the timely filing of a claim is crucial, especially where the claim involves excess profits tax credits. The court also noted the Commissioner's regulations that barred new grounds for relief presented after the filing deadline.

"The amended claims for carryover and carryback of unused credits from other years is an entirely different type of and ground for relief than the relief provided in section 722. The carryover and carryback from other years of unused excess profits credits are provided for in section 710 (c) (3)."

The court distinguished the case from others where amendments to claims were allowed, stating the amended claims required that the Commissioner should examine new matters and ascertain the existence of new facts which his examination of the matters covered by the timely, original claims would not have disclosed.

Practical Implications

This case highlights the strict adherence to statutes of limitations in tax law, particularly regarding claims for excess profits tax relief. Taxpayers must ensure that all potential grounds for relief are presented within the specified timeframe. The case also underscores the significance of filing complete and accurate initial applications. Tax practitioners should be mindful of the limitations on amending claims and understand that introducing new grounds for relief after the statute of limitations has run is generally prohibited, even if those claims are related to the original issues. This case reinforces the importance of diligent tax planning and compliance to avoid losing tax benefits due to procedural missteps.

Meta Description

The Feature Publications case confirms that amended tax claims introducing new

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

grounds for relief, filed after the statute of limitations, are barred, even if related to the original claim.

Tags

Feature Publications Inc., U.S. Tax Court, 1957, Excess Profits Tax, Statute of Limitations, Amended Tax Claims, Constructive Average Base Period Net Income

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.