Commissioner v. Charles F. Johnson, Jr., 180 F.2d 175 (1950)

The Commissioner has the authority to allocate income from long-term contracts between entities in a way that clearly reflects each entity's earnings, even if the taxpayer uses the completed contracts method, especially when the contract is transferred before completion.

Summary

This case concerns a tax dispute over how a partnership and a subsequent corporation should account for income from a long-term construction contract. The partnership began the contract using the completed contracts method, but transferred the contract to a corporation before completion. The Commissioner determined that the partnership's income should be determined using the percentage of completion method to more accurately reflect the earnings of each entity. The court upheld the Commissioner's allocation, finding that the completed contracts method did not clearly reflect the partnership's income, particularly as the partnership had not established a consistent practice of using that method. The court emphasized the Commissioner's broad authority to ensure income is properly accounted for in a way that reflects the economic reality of the transactions.

Facts

Charles F. Johnson, Jr., was a partner in a partnership, which entered into a long-term construction contract. The partnership began the contract using the completed contracts method of accounting, but transferred all of its assets, including the contract, to a newly formed corporation (Palmer & Company) before the construction project was completed. The partnership filed a tax return for its short period of existence, ending with the transfer, and reported no income from the contract. The corporation, upon completion of the contract, accounted for all the income under the completed contracts method in its tax return. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue reallocated the income between the partnership and the corporation, determining the partnership's income based on the percentage of completion of the contract at the time of the transfer. The Commissioner contended that the partnership's use of the completed contract method did not clearly reflect the income earned during its period of operations.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in the partnership's tax liability, which was appealed to the Tax Court. The Tax Court upheld the Commissioner's determination. The taxpayer appealed the Tax Court's decision to the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the Commissioner, under Section 41 of the Internal Revenue Code of

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1939, has the authority to allocate the income from a long-term construction contract between the partnership and the corporation, where the contract was transferred before completion, and the partnership's reporting of income under the completed contracts method did not clearly reflect income.

Holding

1. Yes, the Commissioner's allocation of income was upheld because the completed contracts method did not clearly reflect the partnership's income.

Court's Reasoning

The court affirmed the Commissioner's determination. The court referenced Section 41 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, which grants the Commissioner broad discretion to ensure that a taxpayer's chosen accounting method clearly reflects income. The court found that the partnership's use of the completed contracts method did not provide a clear picture of the income earned during its existence. This was primarily because the partnership had not established a consistent practice of using the completed contracts method (it was their first and only long-term contract). The court noted that a substantial portion of the work and associated costs were incurred by the partnership before the transfer. Allowing the partnership to avoid reporting income earned during its operations, simply because of the transfer to a new entity, would undermine the purpose of accurately reflecting income. The court cited *Standard Paving Co. v. Commissioner* and *Jud Plumbing & Heating, Inc. v. Commissioner*, where similar fact patterns and the same legal principle had been applied. The court emphasized that the Commissioner's actions were aimed at ensuring the accurate allocation of income based on the work performed and costs incurred by each entity.

The court pointed out that the partnership could have used the percentage of completion method, which the regulation clearly allowed. The court recognized the principle that a taxpayer can arrange its affairs to minimize tax liability but cannot entirely avoid recognizing income earned prior to a transfer. The court quoted regulations which stated that the completed contracts method could only be used if that method clearly reflected the net income.

Practical Implications

This case is critical for tax professionals, particularly those dealing with construction and long-term contracts. The case underscores the importance of:

- **Accounting Method Consistency**: Establishing and maintaining a consistent accounting method.
- **Commissioner's Authority**: Acknowledging the broad authority of the Commissioner to challenge accounting methods that do not clearly reflect income.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

- **Percentage of Completion vs. Completed Contracts**: Understanding the circumstances where the percentage of completion method is more appropriate.

The *Johnson* decision cautions against the use of the completed contract method as a tax avoidance strategy, especially when transfers of contracts occur before completion. It emphasizes the need to accurately reflect the income earned by an entity during its period of operation, regardless of subsequent transactions. This case provides a critical framework for tax planning and compliance in the context of long-term contracts.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.