29 T.C. 76 (1957)

An accrual-basis taxpayer cannot deduct a contribution to a pension fund in a given tax year unless all events that fix the amount and obligation to pay occurred within that year, even if the payment is made within 60 days after the year's end.

Summary

The U.S. Tax Court ruled that Barrett Timber and Dunnage Corporation could not deduct a pension plan contribution for its 1951 fiscal year because the liability to pay the contribution was not sufficiently established within that year. The company, using the accrual method of accounting, argued it was entitled to the deduction because it paid the contribution within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. The court found that the critical events creating the liability—such as the pension committee's determination of contribution amounts—did not occur within the 1951 fiscal year, thus disallowing the deduction under Internal Revenue Code Section 23(p)(1).

Facts

Barrett Timber and Dunnage Corporation, an accrual-basis taxpayer, established a pension plan for its employees. The board of directors approved the plan on September 21 and 25, 1951, and authorized the execution of a trust agreement. A check for $21,111, representing the initial premium, was delivered to the trustee on November 27, 1951. A formal "Pension Plan and Trust Agreement" was executed on December 1, 1951. The plan required a pension committee to determine contribution amounts, employee eligibility, and other critical details. However, no evidence showed these events occurred before the end of the fiscal year on September 30, 1951.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the deduction for the pension contribution. The company challenged this decision in the U.S. Tax Court.

Issue(s)

Whether the taxpayer, using an accrual method of accounting, may deduct a contribution to a pension plan for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1951, when the precise amount and the company's obligation to pay it were not established within that fiscal year, even though the payment was made within 60 days after the fiscal year's end.

Holding

No, because the events establishing the amount of the contribution and the obligation to pay did not occur within the taxable year.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The court relied on Section 23(p)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 (predecessor to current law) which permits deductions for pension contributions but with limitations. For an accrual-basis taxpayer, the deduction hinges on whether the liability was “incurred” during the taxable year. Citing *United States v. Anderson*, the court stated that a liability is only incurred when “all of the events which fix the amount of the liability and the obligation of the taxpayer to pay it occurred in that year.” The court found that, while the board approved the plan, key elements such as employee eligibility determination, contribution amount calculations by the pension committee, and the formal trust agreement execution were either missing or occurred after the end of the tax year. The court noted that the amount paid might have been an estimate, further supporting the conclusion that the exact liability hadn’t been established. The court distinguished this case from *Dejay Stores v. Ryan* and *Tallman Tool & Machine Corporation*, where the formation or execution of the trust agreement happened within the taxable year, or where the liability amount was clearly established within the taxable year.

Practical Implications

This case emphasizes the importance of meticulous record-keeping for accrual-basis taxpayers claiming pension contribution deductions. Taxpayers must ensure that all events establishing the amount and obligation to pay the contribution occur within the taxable year, which includes the formalization of the plan, calculations performed, and employee eligibility determined. This case serves as a cautionary tale against assuming that merely paying within the 60-day window guarantees a deduction if the underlying liability isn’t firmly fixed during the tax year. Legal and accounting professionals should advise their clients to document all steps related to pension plan administration within the relevant fiscal year to ensure deductibility. Note that the Tax Court distinguished between a plan informally adopted in the tax year versus a plan formally executed within the tax year.

Meta Description

Tax Court case clarifying the “all events” test for accrual-basis taxpayers deducting pension plan contributions. Liability must be fixed within the tax year, even if payment occurs later.

Tags

Barrett Timber, U.S. Tax Court, 1957, Accrual Accounting, Pension Plan Contributions, Tax Deductions, Liability Incurred

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.