

***Phillips v. Commissioner*, 23 T.C. 767 (1955)**

Under the claim of right doctrine, income received by a taxpayer under a claim of right and without restriction as to its disposition is taxable in the year of receipt, even if the taxpayer may later be required to return the funds.

Summary

The case of *Phillips v. Commissioner* concerns the application of the “claim of right” doctrine in tax law. The petitioner, N. Gordon Phillips, received proceeds from the sale of stock in 1951. A portion of these proceeds were later claimed by a third party, and the petitioner was required to return a portion of the proceeds in 1953 after a court judgment. The issue was whether the proceeds from the sale were taxable in 1951, the year received, or if the subsequent obligation to return the funds altered the tax liability. The Tax Court held that the income was taxable in 1951 because the taxpayer received the funds under a claim of right and without restrictions, even though he later had to return them. The court emphasized the principle of annual accounting in federal income taxation.

Facts

N. Gordon Phillips organized a company and received stock. He sold 1,790 shares and later, in 1951, sold an additional 11,210 shares. Prior to the second sale, Phillips had agreed to give 320 shares to Raichart for promotional services. Raichart died, and his widow sued Phillips for breach of contract and conversion regarding the 320 shares. In 1952, a California court found Phillips liable for conversion of the 320 shares. Phillips treated all of the stock proceeds as his own. In 1953, Phillips paid the judgment, including interest, related to the 320 shares.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in Phillips’ 1951 income tax. The Tax Court heard the case. The widow of Raichart brought an action in state court for conversion. The state court ruled against Phillips. The District Court of Appeals affirmed the judgment, and the California Supreme Court denied the appeal.

Issue(s)

Whether the proceeds from the sale of stock, which the petitioner was later obligated to return due to a judgment, are includible in his income for the year in which the proceeds were received.

Holding

Yes, because the petitioner received the proceeds under a claim of right and without restriction as to their disposition, they were taxable in the year received, despite the subsequent obligation to return a portion of them.

Court's Reasoning

The court relied heavily on the “claim of right” doctrine, originating in *North American Oil v. Burnet*, 286 U.S. 417. The court quoted the *North American Oil* decision stating, “If a taxpayer receives earnings under a claim of right and without restriction as to its disposition, he has received income which he is required to return, even though it may still be claimed that he is not entitled to retain the money, and even though he may still be adjudged liable to restore its equivalent.” The court found that Phillips treated the stock proceeds as his own, without restrictions, in 1951. The court recognized that the judgment against Phillips meant that he would be entitled to a deduction in 1953 when he paid the judgment, but this did not change his 1951 tax liability. The court emphasized the principle of annual accounting in federal income taxation, under *Burnet v. Sanford & Brooks Co.*

Practical Implications

This case highlights the importance of the timing of income recognition under the claim of right doctrine. It demonstrates that tax liability is generally determined in the year of receipt, regardless of subsequent events that might affect the taxpayer’s right to the income. Attorneys should advise clients on the tax implications of receiving funds under a claim of right, including the potential for future deductions. Furthermore, legal professionals should be aware that Congress provided some relief from the effects of the claim of right doctrine under Section 1341 of the 1954 Code.