Goehner v. Commissioner, 28 T.C. 549 (1957)

Gifts in trust for minors are considered “future interests” under the gift tax laws if there is no mechanism for the donee or a representative to immediately access the gift’s benefits.

Summary

The case concerns whether gifts in trust for minor grandchildren qualified for the annual gift tax exclusion as present interests. The Tax Court held that the gifts were of future interests because the trust documents did not grant the beneficiaries, or their parents as trustees, an immediate and unqualified right to the use, possession, or enjoyment of the trust property. The court distinguished this case from ones where beneficiaries or their guardians could make an effective demand for the trust assets. The court emphasized the importance of the donor’s intent and the practical ability of the donee to benefit immediately from the gift.

Facts

William Goehner made gifts of stock to his daughters, sons-in-law, and trusts established for his grandchildren. The trusts named the parents of the grandchildren as trustees. The trust agreements allowed the trustees to use income and principal for the grandchildren’s education, support, maintenance, health, and welfare until they reached age 21. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the annual gift tax exclusions for the gifts in trust, arguing they were gifts of future interests.

Procedural History

The Commissioner assessed deficiencies in gift tax. The Goehners contested these deficiencies in the Tax Court. The Tax Court reviewed the trust agreements and relevant case law to determine whether the gifts qualified for the annual gift tax exclusion as present interests.

Issue(s)

Whether the gifts in trust for the minor grandchildren were gifts of a present or future interest under Section 1003(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, thus qualifying for the annual gift tax exclusion.

Holding

No, the gifts were future interests because the beneficiaries or their representatives did not have an immediate right to the use or enjoyment of the trust property.

Court’s Reasoning

The court relied on established precedent, including *Fondren v. Commissioner* and

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Commissioner v. Disston, which established that for a gift to be considered a present interest, the beneficiary or someone acting on their behalf must have the right to immediate use, possession, or enjoyment of the property. The court distinguished the present case from *George W. Perkins* and *Abraham M. Katz*, where the beneficiaries or their guardians had the power to demand and receive all or a part of the trust income or principal. In the Goehner case, while the parents were trustees, the court found they did not have an unqualified right to make an immediate demand for the property because of the discretionary language in the trust instruments and the fact that the parents were financially able to provide for the children, indicating that the gifts might not be immediately used for the children's benefit. Furthermore, the court noted that the donor retained some control over the trust by providing for successor trustees.

Practical Implications

This case reinforces the importance of clear language in trust documents to qualify for the annual gift tax exclusion for gifts to minors. Attorneys drafting trusts for minors must ensure that beneficiaries, guardians, or other representatives have the unequivocal right to demand the property or income for the gift to be considered a present interest. This often requires granting a power of immediate demand. It is also crucial to consider the practical context in which the gifts are made, including the financial circumstances of the beneficiaries and their parents. The case underscores the potential gift tax consequences of creating trusts that do not provide for an immediate benefit to the donee, potentially reducing overall estate planning flexibility. Subsequent cases have continued to rely on the principles outlined in Goehner, emphasizing the need for an immediate benefit or right to access the gift for it to be considered a present interest.

Meta Description

The case clarifies the distinction between present and future interests in gift tax law, specifically regarding gifts in trust for minors, emphasizing the need for immediate access to the gift's benefits for the annual exclusion.

Tags

Goehner v. Commissioner, Tax Court, 1957, Gift Tax, Future Interest, Trusts for Minors, Annual Exclusion

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.