28 T.C. 79 (1957)

When determining excess profits tax relief under the Internal Revenue Code, the Tax Court must consider whether a company's increased capacity for operation and production, if it had occurred earlier, would have resulted in a higher level of earnings during the base period.

Summary

Helms Bakeries sought excess profits tax relief under the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, specifically Section 722(b)(4), arguing that changes in its operational and production capacity entitled it to relief. The Tax Court initially denied relief, but the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals remanded the case, instructing the Tax Court to determine if the company's expansion, including the addition of a fourth oven and new routes, had occurred two years earlier, whether its earnings would have reached a higher level during the base period. The Tax Court, following the appellate court's directive, found that even with the hypothetical earlier expansions, the company's reconstructed average base period net income would not exceed the relief already granted under Section 713(f) of the Code, and thus, the original decision denying further relief was upheld.

Facts

Helms Bakeries installed a fourth oven on December 5, 1939, increasing its production capacity. In 1939, the bakery also added twenty-six franchise routes and five coach routes, expanding its market reach. Helms Bakeries had a policy to get oven capacity ready and then develop the market (routes). The company invested substantially in suburban loading rooms, trucks, trailers, and coaches. The company sought relief from excess profits taxes for 1943, 1944, and 1945.

Procedural History

The Tax Court initially denied Helms Bakeries relief under Section 722(b)(2) and (b)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939. The company appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. The appellate court affirmed the Tax Court's ruling on section 722(b)(2) but remanded the case to the Tax Court for further consideration of relief under Section 722(b)(4), specifically regarding the impact of the company's capacity expansions on its base period income. The Tax Court then reconsidered its decision in light of the appellate court's instructions.

Issue(s)

Whether Helms Bakeries was entitled to relief under Section 722(b)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 based on an increase in capacity for operation and production, considering the timing of these increases?

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

No, because, the Tax Court, upon reconsideration as directed by the Court of Appeals, found that the reconstructed average base period net income, assuming the increased capacities had occurred two years earlier, would not have exceeded the relief already computed by the Commissioner under section 713(f).

Court’s Reasoning

The court followed the Ninth Circuit’s guidance, which clarified that increasing operating capacity (routes) was as important as increasing production capacity (ovens). The court assumed, per the Court of Appeals mandate, that all expansions occurred two years before they did in reality. The court then assessed whether the company’s earnings at the end of the base period would have been higher with the earlier expansions. The court considered multiple factors, including the competitive nature of the baking industry, the costs of expansion, and a price decline during the base period. Although the court accepted the appellate court’s direction to

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.