

## ***Waring Products Corp., 9 T.C. 937 (1948)***

Business expenses are deductible if they are ordinary and necessary for the taxpayer's business, regardless of whether there is a specific legal obligation to incur them.

### **Summary**

The Tax Court addressed the deductibility of various business expenses claimed by Waring Products Corp. The court determined that expenses for engineering and design related to an unsuccessful product were deductible, despite the absence of a legal obligation to incur those specific costs. Similarly, expenses for administrative services provided by a related company were deemed deductible because the services were essential and the fees reasonable. However, the court disallowed deductions for advertising and demonstration expenses that were set aside in a fund but not actually spent, as no fixed obligation had been created to incur those expenses. The court emphasized the importance of whether expenses were ordinary and necessary for the taxpayer's business in determining deductibility.

### **Facts**

Waring Products Corp. sought to deduct several categories of expenses. These included engineering and design expenses related to the development of a steam iron, administrative service fees paid to Reeves-Ely, a related company, and advertising and demonstration expenses. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed portions of these deductions. Regarding advertising and demonstration expenses, Waring had an agreement with the Sanford Company to set aside funds for these purposes, but the funds were kept by Waring and were not fully spent.

### **Procedural History**

The case was heard by the Tax Court. The Commissioner contested the deductibility of certain business expenses claimed by Waring Products Corp. The court addressed the issues presented by the Commissioner, analyzed the relevant facts, and applied tax law to determine the outcome.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether engineering and design expenses, not legally obligated, are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses under section 23(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939.
2. Whether fees paid for administrative services are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses.
3. Whether advertising and demonstration expenses, placed in a separate fund but not fully spent, are deductible.

### **Holding**

1. Yes, because the expenses were ordinary and appropriate for the conduct of the taxpayer's business.
2. Yes, because the services were important to petitioner and the fees were reasonable.
3. No, because no fixed obligation had been created for the unexpended portion of the fund.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court emphasized that a legal obligation is not always a prerequisite for deducting a business expense. The key consideration is whether the expense is