27 T.C. 249 (1956)

The Tax Court can grant relief from excess profits tax liabilities if a company's base period earnings were depressed due to unusual events, such as a severe freeze, allowing for a constructive average base period net income calculation.

Summary

Treesweet Products Co. sought relief from excess profits taxes, arguing its earnings during the base period were abnormally low due to a severe freeze in California that damaged its orange crop. The Tax Court agreed that the freeze was an unusual event that depressed Treesweet's earnings. The court determined a fair and just amount representing normal earnings, or a "constructive average base period net income," to be used in computing the excess profits tax credit, granting the company relief. The case established that unusual and peculiar events can justify adjustments to base period income for tax calculations.

Facts

Treesweet Products Co. canned and sold fruit juices, primarily orange juice. In January 1937, a severe freeze in California significantly damaged the Valencia orange crop, the type of orange Treesweet used. This freeze was the worst in California's citrus history. Due to the freeze, Treesweet's 1937 pack deteriorated, leading to quality issues, reduced sales, and substantial losses in the fiscal years ended May 31, 1938, and May 31, 1939. Treesweet sought relief under Section 722 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, which provided relief for excess profits tax if a company's average base period net income was an inadequate standard of normal earnings due to unusual events.

Procedural History

Treesweet applied for relief from excess profits tax for several years, claiming its base period earnings were depressed by the 1937 freeze. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue denied the applications. Treesweet petitioned the United States Tax Court, contesting the disallowance of its relief applications.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the abnormal freeze in January 1937 constituted an event unusual and peculiar in Treesweet's experience, causing its base period net income to be an inadequate standard of normal earnings.

2. Whether Treesweet was entitled to a constructive average base period net income for purposes of computing its excess profits tax credit under Section 722.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. Yes, because the court found that the severe California freeze was an unusual and peculiar event that interrupted Treesweet's normal operations.

2. Yes, because the court determined that a constructive average base period net income of $84,000 was a reasonable approximation of Treesweet's normal earnings, warranting relief.

Court's Reasoning

The court referenced Section 722 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, which allowed for relief if a taxpayer's average base period net income was an inadequate measure of normal earnings. The court emphasized that the 1937 freeze was

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.