Estate of Edward L. Humphrey, 25 T.C. 47 (1955)

When a life insurance policy is placed in trust, the policy proceeds are not includable in the gross estate if the decedent did not retain incidents of ownership or pay premiums after a specific date, even if the trust was established to protect assets.

Summary

The Estate of Edward L. Humphrey case involved the question of whether proceeds from life insurance policies held in trust were includable in the decedent's gross estate for federal estate tax purposes. The court found that the transfer of the policies to the trust was not made in contemplation of death. Additionally, the court held that the decedent did not retain any incidents of ownership in the policies. The court also determined that the decedent had not paid any premiums after the relevant date. The court concluded the insurance proceeds were not includable in the gross estate. The case provides important guidance on when life insurance proceeds held in trust are subject to estate tax, emphasizing the significance of the grantor's motives, control over the trust, and premium payments.

Facts

Edward L. Humphrey, the decedent, established an irrevocable life insurance trust 14 years before his death. The trust held several life insurance policies. The trustee could manage any added property and follow instructions given by the decedent. The respondent, the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, determined that the policies were transferred in contemplation of death and should be included in the gross estate. Evidence was introduced showing the decedent's primary motive in creating the trust was to protect his assets from the risks of his speculative business. The decedent stopped paying premiums on the policies after January 10, 1941. The Commissioner argued the decedent retained incidents of ownership and that the policies should be included under the payment of premiums test.

Procedural History

The case originated in the United States Tax Court. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue assessed a deficiency in estate taxes, arguing that the proceeds from the life insurance policies should be included in the decedent's gross estate. The Tax Court reviewed the facts and arguments and issued a decision. The court ruled in favor of the estate. The court's ruling addressed multiple issues: whether the trust was created in contemplation of death, whether the decedent retained incidents of ownership, and the applicability of the premium payment test.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the transfer of life insurance policies to a trust was made in contemplation of death under Section 811(c) of the Internal Revenue Code.
2. Whether the decedent retained incidents of ownership in the life insurance

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

policies, specifically under the provision of Article VI of the trust agreement, which allowed the decedent to give instructions to the trustee.
3. Whether any portion of the life insurance proceeds should be included in the gross estate under the so-called payment of premiums test.

Holding

1. No, because the evidence indicated the primary motivation for establishing the trust was to protect the policies from business risks, a life-motivated purpose, not one in contemplation of death.
2. No, because the provision allowing the decedent to give instructions to the trustee regarding "added property" was limited to investment advice, not an incident of ownership.
3. No, because the decedent did not pay premiums on the policies after January 10, 1941.

Court's Reasoning

The court first addressed whether the transfer was in contemplation of death. It cited the principle that a desire to protect one's assets is a life-motivated purpose. The court found that the decedent's primary reason for establishing the trust was to protect his insurance policies from his speculative business ventures. Therefore, the court concluded that the transfer was not made in contemplation of death. The court considered the fact that the only direct evidence of decedent's motive for assigning the policies was a desire to protect them from the dangers of his speculative business.

Next, the court addressed the issue of incidents of ownership. The Commissioner argued that the provision in the trust agreement allowing the decedent to give instructions to the trustee constituted an incident of ownership. The court disagreed, holding that this provision was limited to investment advice and did not give the decedent the power to derive economic benefits from the policies. The court cited prior cases to support its interpretation, emphasizing that the provision did not give the decedent any control over the economic value of the policies.

Finally, the court addressed the premium payment test. The court noted that the decedent had not paid any premiums on the policies after January 10, 1941. Under the statute, the inclusion in the estate of no part of the insurance proceeds is warranted on this ground. The court distinguished this case from scenarios where premiums were paid indirectly by the decedent. The court referenced the express language of the statute regarding the payment of premiums.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies the conditions under which life insurance proceeds held in trust are excluded from a decedent's gross estate. Attorneys should advise clients

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

creating life insurance trusts to document the life-motivated purposes behind the trust, such as asset protection, to avoid estate tax consequences. Clients should also ensure that they do not retain incidents of ownership. When drafting trust documents, careful attention should be given to the powers granted to the grantor. It is crucial to specify that the grantor's input is limited to investment advice, not control over the policy's economic benefits. Finally, clients should be instructed to cease paying premiums to avoid triggering the premium payment test. Failure to do so could result in the inclusion of the proceeds in the taxable estate. This case underscores the importance of comprehensive estate planning and proper trust drafting to minimize estate tax liability associated with life insurance proceeds.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.