McGrath v. Commissioner, 27 T.C. 117 (1956)

Expenses incurred in an illegal business that violate a clearly defined public policy are not deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses.

Summary

The Tax Court considered whether Albert D. McGrath, who operated an illegal bookmaking business, could deduct payments to winning bettors and expenses for wages and rent. The court found that the petitioner's records were unreliable and disallowed the amounts claimed as payouts to winning bettors. The court further held that the wages paid to employees and the rent for the premises, both of which were used in violation of state law, were not deductible because they violated Illinois's public policy against illegal gambling. The court's decision underscores the principle that the IRS will not subsidize illegal activities by allowing deductions for expenses related to them when those expenses directly violate public policy.

Facts

Albert D. McGrath operated an illegal bookmaking business in Illinois, taking bets on horse races. His operations occurred in 1948, 1949, and 1950. He kept records, including 20-line sheets, but the court found these records inadequate and unreliable to reflect his actual profits and payouts. McGrath claimed deductions on his income tax returns for payments to winning bettors, wages to employees (one of whom collected bets and the other who answered the telephone), and rent for the business premises. These activities and expenses violated Illinois criminal statutes against gambling.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in McGrath's income tax for the years in question, disallowing certain deductions. The petitioner contested this determination, and the case was brought before the United States Tax Court. The Tax Court reviewed the evidence, including McGrath's records and testimony, to determine the correct tax liability. The court sided with the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the Commissioner correctly decreased the amounts claimed by McGrath to have been paid to winning bettors.

2. Whether expenses for rent and wages incurred in the illegal bookmaking business are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 

1. Yes, because McGrath’s records were inadequate and unreliable to substantiate the claimed payouts.

2. No, because the payments for wages and rent violated the clearly defined public policy of the State of Illinois against illegal gambling.

Court's Reasoning

The court first examined the reliability of McGrath’s records, finding the 20-line sheets were not trustworthy and could be easily manipulated. The court noted that the lack of substantiating evidence, combined with inconsistent testimony, led to the conclusion that the amounts claimed as payouts to winning bettors were overstated. The court accepted the IRS agent’s methodology of calculating payouts based on parimutuel track payouts, though the precise percentage was adjusted. The court then addressed the deductibility of wages and rent. The court stated “the payment of the wages in question was to procure the direct aid…in the perpetration of an illegal act, namely, the operation of a bookmaking establishment.” The court held that allowing deductions for expenses directly related to illegal activities would violate public policy. The court cited section 23(a)(1)(A) of the 1939 Internal Revenue Code and several precedents to support its conclusion. The court noted, “it is established law that where the allowance of expenditures such as we have here as deductions would be “to frustrate sharply defined * * * policies” of a State, in this instance Illinois, they are not within the intent of the statute.”

The court distinguished this case from the Seventh Circuit’s decision in Commissioner v. Doyle, noting that the employees and the landlord were actively participating in the illegal activity, unlike the facts in Doyle.

Practical Implications

This case is critical for understanding how the IRS treats businesses operating in violation of state law. It demonstrates that the IRS will not subsidize illegal activity through tax deductions. The decision has significant implications for businesses operating in gray areas. Lawyers and tax advisors should advise their clients that expenses related to activities that violate clearly defined public policies are unlikely to be deductible, regardless of the income generated by the activity. The case underscores the importance of maintaining accurate and verifiable financial records and recognizing that such records are essential for demonstrating entitlement to deductions.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 