

## **26 T.C. 743 (1956)**

When a surviving spouse elects to take under a will that provides for a life estate and a remainder interest, the spouse may be deemed to have made a taxable gift to the remainderman to the extent the value of her community property interest surrendered exceeds the value of the interest she receives.

### **Summary**

In *Siegel v. Commissioner*, the U.S. Tax Court addressed whether a widow made a taxable gift when she elected to take under her deceased husband's will instead of claiming her community property interest. The husband's will provided the wife with a life estate in a trust and a cash bequest, in lieu of her community property share. The court held that the widow made a taxable gift to her son, the remainderman of the trust, because she transferred her remainder interest in her share of the community property. The court valued the gift by comparing what the widow gave up (her share of the community property) with what she received (the life estate and the cash bequest). The court found that the gift was the value of the remainder interest in the widow's community property, reduced by the value of the life estate she retained and increased by the value of the cash bequest.

### **Facts**

Irving Siegel died, leaving a will that stipulated, in lieu of her community property share, his wife, Mildred Siegel, was to receive a cash bequest of \$35,000 and payments for life from a residuary trust. The community property was valued at \$1,422,897.14. Mildred elected to take under the will. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined that Mildred made a gift to her son, the remainderman of the trust, equal to the remainder interest in her community property share, and assessed a gift tax deficiency. The net value of Mildred's share of the community property was determined to be \$584,035.44.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue assessed a gift tax deficiency against Mildred Siegel, asserting that her election to take under her husband's will constituted a taxable gift. Siegel contested the assessment in the U.S. Tax Court.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether Mildred Siegel made a taxable gift when she elected to take under her husband's will, instead of claiming her community property interest.

### **Holding**

1. Yes, because Mildred made a gift to the remainderman (her son) of the remainder interest in her share of the community property to the extent that the value of what

she gave up (the remainder interest) exceeded the value of what she received (the life estate and cash bequest).

### **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court relied on the principle established in *Chase National Bank* to determine if Mildred Siegel made a gift. The court stated, "petitioner must be considered as having made a gift to the extent that the value of the interest she surrendered in her share of the community property exceeded the value of the interest she thereby acquired under the terms of Irving's will." The court assessed the value of the gift by calculating the difference between the value of the remainder interest in the community property transferred by Mildred (approximately \$268,667.98) and the value of the life estate and cash bequest she received. It was determined that the value of the \$35,000 bequest was part of what Mildred received in exchange for her interest in the community property. The court rejected Mildred's argument that the provision in the will providing for payments for the support of herself and her son constituted an annuity with a value exceeding her gift, concluding that the discretion given to the trustees negated the possibility of valuing it as an annuity. The court explained, "While there is some difference in the power of the trustees in the instant case to invade the corpus for purpose of making payments to petitioner from the power which was given the trustee to invade the corpus in *Chase National Bank*, we think we would be unable to spell out a valid distinction between the two cases."

### **Practical Implications**

This case is a crucial precedent in analyzing the gift tax consequences of community property elections made by surviving spouses. Attorneys must advise clients on the potential tax implications of electing to take under a will that involves a transfer of community property interests. The court's approach necessitates a careful valuation of what the surviving spouse gives up and receives, including life estates, cash bequests, and other benefits. This valuation often requires actuarial calculations and expert testimony. It's important to note that the "sole discretion" given to trustees over distributions significantly impacts the valuation of any rights to trust income or principal. This case also underscores the importance of clear drafting in wills, as the court considered the testator's intent in determining how to value the bequest.