26 T.C. 549 (1956)

A bequest in trust, directing payments to a specific class of students, may qualify as an educational bequest deductible from the gross estate under the Internal Revenue Code, even if the funds are distributed directly to the students without restrictions on their use.

Summary

In *Estate of Harley J. Davis v. Commissioner*, the U.S. Tax Court addressed whether a bequest from Davis's estate, establishing a trust to provide funds to student nurses at a specific nursing school, qualified as an educational bequest deductible from the estate tax. The Commissioner argued that the payments to the student nurses were not for educational purposes because the nurses received the funds directly and could use them for any purpose, not solely for educational expenses. The court held that the bequest was deductible, finding its primary purpose was educational, and the lack of restrictions on the funds' use did not disqualify it. The decision emphasized the intent to assist nursing students and the benefit to the educational institution, even if the individual recipients could use the funds as they saw fit.

Facts

Harley J. Davis died in 1952, leaving a will that named the Lincoln National Bank and Trust Company as executor. Davis's will included a residuary clause establishing a trust to provide financial assistance to student nurses enrolled at the Lutheran Hospital School of Nursing. The will directed the trustee to pay a sum of money to each nurse immediately following Davis's death and additional payments at the end of each school term. The school was a non-profit educational institution accredited by several medical associations. Student nurses were responsible for their tuition, uniforms, and books, and the total cost of the three-year program was approximately $700. Davis knew the student nurses received no compensation and sought to assist them financially. The school mentioned the bequest in its literature for prospective students.

Procedural History

The executor filed a federal estate tax return, claiming a deduction for the bequest to the student nurses as an educational purpose. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the deduction, leading to a deficiency in the estate tax. The Estate of Harley J. Davis petitioned the U.S. Tax Court for a redetermination of the tax deficiency, arguing that the bequest qualified as an educational deduction under the Internal Revenue Code.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the bequest by the decedent to the Lincoln National Bank & Trust Company, for distribution to the student nurses of the Lutheran Hospital School of

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Nursing, qualified as a bequest for educational purposes under Section 812(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939.

Holding

1. Yes, because the court determined that the bequest was primarily intended for educational purposes and benefited the students and the school, thus qualifying for a deduction under Section 812(d) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Court's Reasoning

The court focused on whether the bequest's general or predominant purpose was educational, as required by the statute. The court determined that the payments were not compensation, but rather financial assistance, thus meeting the purpose of aiding student nurses with their educational expenses. The court found that, despite the lack of explicit restrictions on how the students used the funds, the bequest's primary objective was to support the education of nurses. The court cited precedent that construed the term "exclusively" liberally and that the lack of restrictions on the students' use of the money was not determinative. The court noted the educational benefit to the institution was the primary factor.

The court distinguished the case from one where the bequest was made directly to the student nurses without any restriction, as the money was distributed through a trust, and this was consistent with educational purposes.

The dissenting opinion argued that the gifts made to students did not qualify for deduction because they could be used for any purpose and did not depend on financial need, as defined in the will.

The court referred to the following quote within its opinion: "The word 'exclusively' has been liberally construed, and a bequest is deductible if its general or predominant purpose is religious, charitable, scientific, or educational."

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that bequests intended to support education are eligible for estate tax deductions, even if the funds are not directly controlled by the educational institution. Attorneys drafting wills and estate plans should consider the educational intent behind the bequest, as well as the benefit to the class of students to establish eligibility for deductions. This case offers guidance on how to structure bequests to align with the rules established by the Internal Revenue Code. The Davis case suggests that providing funds through a trust and designating a specific group of students as beneficiaries increases the likelihood of an educational deduction. Subsequent cases dealing with charitable contributions have cited Davis for the principle that the overall purpose of a gift will be examined, and that the individual recipients need not necessarily have extreme financial need to qualify a gift as charitable.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.