Estate of Raymond Parks Wheeler, Evelyn King Wheeler, Executrix, Petitioner, v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 26 T.C. 466 (1956)

For assets held in trust to qualify for the estate tax marital deduction, the trust must grant the surviving spouse a life estate with all income, a general power of appointment, and no power in others to appoint to someone other than the spouse.

Summary

The Estate of Raymond Parks Wheeler challenged the Commissioner of Internal Revenue's disallowance of a marital deduction. The dispute centered on whether assets held in a revocable trust created by the decedent qualified for the deduction. The court addressed whether the trust met the conditions of the Internal Revenue Code to qualify for the marital deduction. The court held that the trust did not meet the requirements because it allowed the trustee to invade the principal for the benefit of both the surviving spouse and children, and also because the trust did not grant the surviving spouse an unrestricted general power of appointment. Additionally, the court addressed whether the value of the residuary estate qualified for the marital deduction, finding that it did not because the estate had no assets to transfer to the surviving spouse after payment of debts and taxes.

Facts

Raymond Parks Wheeler created a revocable trust in 1940, naming Hartford-Connecticut Trust Company as trustee and himself as the income beneficiary for life. Upon his death in 1951, his wife, Evelyn King Wheeler, was to receive benefits. The trust allowed the trustee to invade the principal for the benefit of Evelyn and the children. Wheeler's will bequeathed all his property to Evelyn. The estate claimed a marital deduction on its estate tax return, which the Commissioner disallowed, arguing that the trust assets did not pass to the surviving spouse as defined by the Internal Revenue Code. The estate contested this disallowance. After the payment of administration expenses, debts, and estate taxes, there were no assets in the estate available for distribution to the surviving spouse.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in estate tax and disallowed the claimed marital deduction. The Estate of Raymond Parks Wheeler petitioned the United States Tax Court to challenge this determination. The Tax Court heard the case and issued a decision addressing whether the assets held in trust and those passing through the will qualified for the marital deduction.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the assets in the trust qualified for the marital deduction under Section 812 (e)(1)(F) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, given the terms of the trust.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 

2. Whether the assets passing from the residuary estate qualified for the marital deduction.

Holding

1. No, because the trust instrument did not meet all the conditions of the regulation, specifically because it allowed the trustee to invade principal for the benefit of the children, violating the requirement that no other person has the power to appoint trust corpus to any person other than the surviving spouse.

2. No, because the residuary estate had no assets remaining for distribution to the surviving spouse after the payment of debts, expenses, and taxes.

Court's Reasoning

The court first examined whether the trust met the requirements of the marital deduction under the Internal Revenue Code. The court relied on Treasury Regulations 105, Section 81.47a(c), which outlines five conditions for trusts to qualify. The court found that the trust failed to meet the fifth condition, which stated, "The corpus of the trust must not be subject to a power in any other person to appoint any part thereof to any person other than the surviving spouse." Because the trustee had the power to invade principal for the benefit of both the surviving spouse and the children, the trust did not meet this requirement. The court stated, "It seems certain from the foregoing language that the trustee…has large powers to invade the principal of the trust, not only for the benefit of Evelyn but for the benefit of the children as well." The court also noted that even if the trust had met other conditions, the interest of the spouse was terminable since the trust was to continue for the children after her death.

The court also considered whether the residuary estate qualified for the marital deduction. Because the estate's liabilities exceeded its assets, the court determined that the surviving spouse received nothing from the residuary estate, thus, it was not eligible for the marital deduction. In support, the court cited Estate of Herman Hohensee, Sr., 25 T.C. 1258, as a similar fact pattern.

Practical Implications

This case emphasizes the stringent requirements for qualifying for the estate tax marital deduction, particularly when assets are held in trust. Lawyers must carefully draft trust instruments to meet all the specific conditions outlined in the Internal Revenue Code and corresponding regulations. The trustee must not have the power to distribute assets to anyone other than the surviving spouse, especially the children. Any provision allowing for such distributions will disqualify the trust for the marital deduction. Additionally, the case underscores the importance of ensuring that the surviving spouse actually receives assets from the estate. If the estate is insolvent and the spouse receives nothing, no marital deduction can be claimed. This

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 

case provides a direct reference to the essential elements of a QTIP trust. It further warns attorneys and those tasked with estate planning of the importance of complying with the regulations. Failure to do so could have significant tax consequences. Subsequent cases would follow the holding of Wheeler, thus reinforcing that the creation of a trust under the appropriate conditions is critical to achieving the marital deduction.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 