

25 T.C. 1238 (1956)

Expenses incurred to obtain a degree required for initial qualification in a profession are not deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses, even if the taxpayer is employed in a related position during the educational period.

Summary

Robert Kamins sought to deduct travel and thesis-typing expenses related to obtaining his doctorate. The IRS disallowed the deductions, arguing they were personal educational expenses, not ordinary and necessary business expenses. The Tax Court agreed, distinguishing Kamins' situation from cases where educational expenses were incurred to maintain an existing position. The court reasoned that Kamins needed the degree to be fully qualified for his position, making the expenses for "commencing" his profession, not "carrying on" his existing job. This case clarifies the line between deductible educational expenses for job maintenance and non-deductible expenses for initial professional qualifications.

Facts

Robert M. Kamins was offered a research associate position at the University of Hawaii, contingent on obtaining his doctorate. He passed his preliminary exams and was offered a one-year contract. He was later offered a second one-year contract. The university emphasized the necessity of the doctorate for his permanent position. Kamins took a leave of absence to complete his dissertation and, after receiving his doctorate, returned to the university as a permanent research associate and associate professor. He sought to deduct travel expenses to Chicago and costs associated with typing his thesis.

Procedural History

The IRS disallowed Kamins' deductions for travel and thesis expenses on his 1949 and 1950 income tax returns. Kamins appealed to the United States Tax Court, arguing that these expenses were ordinary and necessary business expenses. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Issue(s)

1. Whether expenses for travel and thesis typing incurred to obtain a doctorate are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses under the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. No, because the expenses were incurred to meet the initial requirements for a position and were not expenses incurred to maintain an already established position.

Court's Reasoning

The court distinguished Kamins' situation from the case of *Hill v. Commissioner*, 181 F. 2d 906, where a schoolteacher could deduct summer school expenses because they were for maintaining her current position, not obtaining a new one. The court emphasized the letter from the University of Hawaii, which made it clear that a doctorate was essential for Kamins' position. The court stated that Kamins was not fully established in his profession until he met the requirement of holding a doctorate. The court cited *Knut F. Larson*, 15 T. C. 956, where education expenses for an engineer were deemed personal. The court concluded that Kamins' expenditures were for "commencing" and "increasing" his qualifications and not for "carrying on" or "preserving" an existing position.

Practical Implications

This case establishes a bright-line rule: Educational expenses to meet the *initial* minimum requirements of a job are personal expenses, not business expenses, even if one is employed in the field. This impacts tax planning for professionals, particularly those in academia, medicine, and other fields requiring advanced degrees. It suggests that the timing of acquiring qualifications influences deductibility. Expenses related to maintaining or improving skills within a currently held position may be deductible, as exemplified in *Hill v. Commissioner*, but expenses for initial qualification are generally not. Later cases have consistently upheld this distinction, making it a key consideration in tax audits and litigation involving educational expense deductions.