Jackson v. Commissioner, 25 T.C. 1106 (1956)

A payment from an employer to a former employee is considered taxable compensation, not a gift, if it is made in recognition of past services, even if the payment is voluntary or without legal obligation.

Summary

The case concerns whether a payment made by an employer to a former employee was a tax-exempt gift or taxable income. The Tax Court held that the payment, characterized by the employer as equivalent to a year's salary and conditioned on the employee's release of claims, was taxable compensation. The court emphasized that the payment was rooted in the prior employer-employee relationship and was made in consideration of past services and, implicitly, to secure the employee's agreement to certain terms. The court looked at the intent behind the payment, concluding that it was not motivated by detached generosity, as required for a gift, but rather was a form of compensation.

Facts

The Motion Picture Producers Association terminated Jackson's employment and subsequently paid him $38,270. The payment was broken down into $30,000, stated by the executive committee as equivalent to his current salary for a year, and $8,270, whose purpose was not explicitly stated but inferred to be compensation for personal expenses. The payment was made contingent upon Jackson's agreement that the employment was terminated, maintaining confidentiality, and executing a general release of all claims against the association. The association recorded the payment as a salary expense.

Procedural History

The case was heard in the United States Tax Court. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined that the payment was taxable income. The taxpayers, Mr. and Mrs. Jackson, challenged the determination, arguing that the payment was a gift. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the payment made to Jackson by his former employer constituted a tax-exempt gift under Section 22(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939?

Holding

1. No, because the payment was made in consideration of past services and was not a gift.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The Tax Court relied on the principle that the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.