25 T.C. 1026 (1956)

Life insurance proceeds are includible in a decedent's gross estate if the decedent possessed any incidents of ownership, regardless of who paid the premiums or possessed the policy.

Summary

The U.S. Tax Court addressed whether life insurance proceeds were includible in a decedent's estate when the decedent's mother paid the premiums and was the beneficiary, but the decedent had certain rights under the policy. The court held that the proceeds were includible because the decedent possessed incidents of ownership, such as the right to change the beneficiary, even if he did not have physical possession of the policies. The court also addressed a penalty for late filing of the estate tax return, concluding that the delay was due to reasonable cause and not willful neglect, thus the penalty was reversed.

Facts

Michael Collino (decedent) died intestate in 1947. His mother, Grace Collino, purchased eight life insurance policies on his life between 1931 and 1937, totaling $57,500. Grace paid all the premiums and was the named beneficiary. The decedent's mother retained physical possession of the policies. The decedent's estate tax return was filed late due to complications in determining the estate's assets and liabilities, and questions about ownership of the policies and other assets. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue asserted that the life insurance proceeds were includible in the decedent's gross estate because the decedent possessed incidents of ownership. The Commissioner also imposed a penalty for the late filing of the estate tax return.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in estate tax and imposed a penalty for late filing. The administrator of the estate petitioned the U.S. Tax Court, challenging the inclusion of the insurance proceeds and the penalty. The Tax Court considered the case and issued a ruling.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the proceeds of life insurance policies on the decedent's life, where his mother was the beneficiary and paid the premiums, are includible in the decedent's gross estate under Section 811(g)(2)(B) of the 1939 Code, because the decedent possessed incidents of ownership.

2. Whether the failure to file the estate tax return on time was due to reasonable cause and not to willful neglect, thus avoiding a penalty.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Holding

1. Yes, because the decedent possessed the right to change the beneficiary, an incident of ownership, the insurance proceeds were includible in the gross estate.

2. Yes, the late filing was due to reasonable cause and not willful neglect; therefore, the penalty was reversed.

Court's Reasoning

Regarding the inclusion of the life insurance proceeds, the court focused on whether the decedent possessed any incidents of ownership. The court stated, "The term 'incidents of ownership,' in section 811(g)(2)(B), includes the power to change the beneficiary, to surrender or cancel the policy, to assign the policy, or to revoke an assignment, to pledge the policy for a loan, or to obtain a loan from the insurer against the surrender value of the policy." The court found that the decedent possessed the right to change the beneficiary, which is an incident of ownership. The court emphasized that Section 811(g)(2)(B) states that life insurance proceeds are includible if the decedent possessed "any of the incidents of ownership."

Regarding the penalty for late filing, the court considered the circumstances surrounding the delay, noting the widow's inexperience, the complexity of the estate, and the attorney's good faith belief that the return wasn't required. The court decided that the delay was due to reasonable cause, negating willful neglect, and the penalty was reversed. The court stated that they were "satisfied that Cappa [the attorney] had a bona fide belief that the gross estate of the decedent was less than the then statutory exemption…"

Practical Implications

This case is crucial for understanding how life insurance policies are treated for estate tax purposes, especially when ownership and premium payments are complex. Legal practitioners should advise clients that even if a beneficiary pays the premiums, if the insured retains any incidents of ownership, the proceeds are likely to be included in the gross estate. Clients should be advised to structure life insurance ownership carefully to align with estate planning goals. Estate planners must carefully examine all policy documents to determine whether the decedent retained any incidents of ownership. The court's deference to an attorney's good faith belief in the second issue suggests a reasonable level of care is expected, but practitioners must be vigilant and document their efforts and advice when filing returns.

The case also underscores the importance of timely filing. If a late filing is unavoidable, attorneys must ensure there's a reasonable cause for the delay and document all steps taken to comply. The court will consider factors such as the complexity of the estate and the experience of the executor when determining

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

whether the failure to file was due to willful neglect.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.