

Cooper v. Commissioner, T.C. Memo. 1954-276

A taxpayer's uncertainty about income is not automatically considered 'reasonable cause' for failing to file a declaration of estimated tax if the taxpayer could have taken steps to ascertain their income and had reason to expect taxable income.

Summary

The petitioner, John Adrian Cooper, challenged the Commissioner's determination of a penalty for failing to file a declaration of estimated income tax for 1950. Cooper argued that his failure was due to 'reasonable cause' because he was uncertain about his income throughout the year due to a profit-sharing arrangement. The Tax Court upheld the penalty, finding that Cooper had a history of substantial income, could have sought information about his earnings from his company, and therefore his uncertainty did not constitute reasonable cause. The court emphasized that taxpayers have a responsibility to ascertain their income for tax purposes.

Facts

Petitioner John Adrian Cooper had a profit-sharing agreement with Forcum-James Company where he supervised construction jobs. He received 40% of the profit or bore 40% of the loss on projects. In 1950, he received a substantial payment of \$32,249.83 on December 19th and another \$5,000 on January 10, 1951. Cooper claimed that until December 1950, he was uncertain if he would receive income as he had spent personal funds on expenses and had not received payments from the company. He had earned significant income in 1948 and 1949 (\$22,371.43 and \$46,966.69 respectively).

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined an addition to tax for failure to file a declaration of estimated tax. Cooper petitioned the Tax Court to contest this determination.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the petitioner's failure to file a declaration of estimated tax for 1950 was due to 'reasonable cause' and not 'willful neglect' under Section 294(d)(1)(A) of the 1939 Internal Revenue Code, because he was uncertain about receiving income during the tax year.

Holding

1. No. The Tax Court held that Cooper's failure to file was not due to reasonable cause because he could have sought information about his income from Forcum-James Company and his prior income history suggested he would likely have substantial income.

Court's Reasoning

The court reasoned that the burden of proof was on Cooper to show reasonable cause. The court found his claim of uncertainty unconvincing, stating: "It was petitioner's responsibility to seek the required information from the company. Had he done so he would have known during the year whether he was earning or losing money and whether it could reasonably be expected that his gross income for the year would exceed the amounts set out in section 58 (a) of the statute." The court noted Cooper's substantial income in prior years, suggesting he should have reasonably expected significant income in 1950. The court dismissed Cooper's implicit argument that filing a completed return by January 15th negated the need for an estimated tax declaration, clarifying that this exception only applies if the requirements for filing a declaration were first met after September 1st of the taxable year. The court concluded that failing to seek information to comply with tax law is not 'reasonable cause'.

Practical Implications

Cooper v. Commissioner clarifies that a taxpayer cannot simply claim ignorance or uncertainty of income as 'reasonable cause' for failing to file estimated taxes if they have the means to obtain income information. This case highlights the taxpayer's proactive duty to ascertain their income situation for tax compliance. It emphasizes that past income history is relevant in assessing whether a taxpayer should reasonably expect to meet the income thresholds requiring estimated tax filings. Legal practitioners should advise clients that relying on year-end income figures without monitoring income throughout the year and seeking necessary information from payers is insufficient to establish 'reasonable cause' for penalty avoidance in estimated tax contexts. This case reinforces the importance of regular income assessment and proactive tax planning throughout the tax year, especially for individuals with variable income streams.