De Soto Securities Company v. Commissioner, 25 T.C. 175 (1955)

In computing the deduction for taxes "paid or accrued" under section 505(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code for the purpose of determining subchapter A net income subject to the personal holding company surtax, a cash-basis taxpayer who deducts accrued taxes during the tax year is not allowed to deduct taxes paid in that year which relate to income of prior years.

Summary

De Soto Securities Company, a personal holding company operating on a cash basis, deducted both accrued income taxes for its fiscal year ending June 30, 1950, and taxes paid during that year related to prior tax years. The Commissioner disallowed the deduction for taxes paid on prior years' income. The Tax Court, following the reasoning in *Clarion Oil Co.*, held that the deduction for taxes for personal holding company surtax purposes is limited to taxes levied for the tax year in question, regardless of when those taxes were actually paid. The court reasoned that allowing the deduction of taxes paid for previous years would be inconsistent with the purpose of the statute to impose a penalty tax on undistributed income for a given year.

Facts

De Soto Securities Company, a corporation, filed its income tax returns on a cash basis and was classified as a personal holding company for its fiscal year ending June 30, 1950. During that fiscal year, De Soto deducted the accrued federal income taxes for the fiscal year 1950. In addition, De Soto paid taxes relating to prior tax years, including tax deficiencies and installments for the calendar years 1942-1949. De Soto also paid dividends during the fiscal year. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the deduction for taxes paid in 1950 related to prior tax periods, which led to a tax deficiency dispute.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a personal holding company surtax deficiency against De Soto. De Soto contested this determination in the United States Tax Court. The Tax Court reviewed stipulated facts and issued a decision based on its interpretation of Section 505 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, specifically regarding the meaning of "paid or accrued" concerning tax deductions for personal holding companies. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the Commissioner, disallowing the deduction for taxes paid in 1950 relating to prior years. The decision can be found at 25 T.C. 175.

Issue(s)

1. Whether, under Section 505(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, a cash-basis personal holding company that deducts accrued taxes for the taxable year in

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

calculating its subchapter A net income, can also deduct taxes paid during that year that relate to income from prior taxable years.

Holding

1. No, because the court determined that the deduction for taxes under Section 505(a)(1) is limited to taxes levied for the taxable year in question, regardless of the taxpayer's method of accounting and when those taxes were paid.

Court's Reasoning

The court analyzed Section 505(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, which allows a deduction for "Federal income, war-profits, and excess-profits taxes paid or accrued during the taxable year." The court referenced the *Clarion Oil Co.* case, where it was held that for the purposes of determining personal holding company surtax, the taxpayer's accounting method (cash or accrual) is irrelevant and the focus is on the taxes for the specific tax year. The court stated, "taxes paid for a previous year, just as net income from a previous year, have no proper place in the calculation." The court also noted that allowing the deduction of taxes paid for previous years would lead to a double deduction: once in the year of accrual and again in the year of payment, which is not the intent of the statute. The court pointed out that the intent of the statute was for the surtax to apply to income remaining after dividend disbursements and tax payments for the single tax year. Ultimately, the Tax Court sided with the Commissioner, disallowing the deduction of prior year's taxes because it was inconsistent with the objective of the statute to tax undistributed income for a given year.

Practical Implications

The *De Soto Securities* case clarifies the application of Section 505(a)(1) for personal holding companies. The decision has significant practical implications for tax planning: the case emphasizes that when calculating the personal holding company surtax, the focus is on the taxes attributable to the income of the current tax year. Businesses and tax practitioners should carefully distinguish between the tax liabilities of different tax years to avoid disallowed deductions. This case highlights that a taxpayer cannot deduct taxes paid in a given year if those taxes are related to income from a previous tax year, even if the company operates on a cash basis, and also has implications for how the government analyzes similar cases. Taxpayers need to keep accurate records that clearly delineate when taxes were accrued and when they were paid to ensure proper tax reporting and compliance.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.