25 T.C. 106 (1955)

Once a taxpayer elects to take the standard deduction, the election is irrevocable, and the taxpayer cannot later itemize deductions to claim gambling losses, even if the IRS audits and adds gambling gains to the taxpayer's income.

Summary

The case concerns a taxpayer, Robert V. Johnston, who filed a joint income tax return, electing the standard deduction. The IRS subsequently added unreported gambling winnings to his gross income. Johnston sought to revoke his election and itemize deductions to offset the gains with gambling losses. The Tax Court held that the election to take the standard deduction was irrevocable under the relevant statute, thereby denying Johnston the ability to itemize his deductions, even to claim gambling losses against gambling gains.

Facts

Robert V. Johnston and his wife filed a joint income tax return for 1949, electing the standard deduction. Johnston had unreported gambling winnings from dog races. The IRS audited the return and added the gambling winnings to his gross income. Johnston had also incurred gambling losses. Due to electing the standard deduction, Johnston did not report these losses on his original tax return. Johnston sought to amend his return to itemize his deductions and claim the gambling losses as an offset. The relevant statute specified that the election to take a standard deduction, once made, was irrevocable.

Procedural History

The case was initially brought before the United States Tax Court. The IRS determined a deficiency in Johnston's income tax and assessed a negligence penalty, adding the gambling gains to Johnston's income because they were unreported. Johnston argued that he should be allowed to amend his return. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the Commissioner, affirming the deficiency and penalty. The Court held that the election of the standard deduction was irrevocable. The court noted that the taxpayer conceded the key point that the standard deduction was irrevocable.

Issue(s)

1. Whether a taxpayer who elected the standard deduction on their original return can later revoke that election and itemize deductions, including gambling losses, after the IRS has added unreported gambling gains to their gross income.

Holding

1. No, because the statute explicitly makes the election to take the standard deduction irrevocable.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The court relied heavily on the clear language of Section 23(aa)(3)(C) of the Internal Revenue Code, which states that the election of the standard deduction is irrevocable. The court reasoned that the statute allows all gambling winnings to be reported, but if a taxpayer wants to claim gambling losses, they must itemize their deductions. Having elected the standard deduction, the taxpayers could not then itemize the losses. The court also emphasized that deductions are a matter of legislative grace, not a natural right. The court dismissed the taxpayer’s argument that fairness required the election to be changeable.

Practical Implications

This case underscores the importance of carefully considering the implications of tax elections. Taxpayers must understand that elections, such as choosing the standard deduction, can have significant, and in this case, irreversible consequences. Tax advisors must emphasize to clients the importance of accurately reporting all income and considering the implications of electing the standard deduction versus itemizing. If a taxpayer has potential losses that could offset income, they must assess the benefits of itemizing deductions upfront. This case demonstrates the importance of proper record keeping of gambling winnings and losses.

Additionally, if a taxpayer’s return is subject to audit and adjustments are made by the IRS, this case shows that taxpayers cannot always simply amend or change their return to offset adjustments to their gross income.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.