

***Estate of Arthur S. Fairchild, Deceased, Homer D. Wheaton and Bank of New York (formerly Bank of New York and Fifth Avenue Bank), Executors, Petitioner, v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 24 T.C. 408 (1955)***

A U.S. citizen domiciled in the Virgin Islands is not subject to federal estate tax laws where the laws have not been explicitly extended to the territory.

## **Summary**

The Estate of Arthur Fairchild challenged the Commissioner of Internal Revenue's assessment of a federal estate tax deficiency. Fairchild, a U.S. citizen, had been domiciled in the Virgin Islands for over a decade prior to his death. The issue was whether the estate was subject to the federal estate tax. The Tax Court held that it was not, reasoning that the federal estate tax laws had not been explicitly extended to the Virgin Islands, an unincorporated territorial possession. The court referenced the Organic Act of the Virgin Islands and electoral ordinances, emphasizing the local autonomy in taxation matters. This decision aligns with the established principle that laws of general application do not apply to unincorporated territories without specific reference.

## **Facts**

Arthur S. Fairchild, a U.S. citizen, was born in 1867 and died on February 10, 1951. Around November 1938, he established his domicile in St. Thomas, Virgin Islands, and maintained it until his death. His estate included real estate and personal property located in both the Virgin Islands and New York, valued at \$521,212.60. His will was probated in both the Virgin Islands and New York, and Virgin Islands inheritance tax was paid. A federal estate tax return was filed, showing no tax due. The Commissioner determined a deficiency, arguing that Fairchild, as a U.S. citizen, was subject to the federal estate tax, regardless of his domicile.

## **Procedural History**

The case was initially brought before the United States Tax Court following the Commissioner's determination of an estate tax deficiency. The Tax Court considered the case and issued a ruling in favor of the estate, stating that no federal estate tax was due. The Commissioner's determination was thus overturned.

## **Issue(s)**

Whether a U.S. citizen domiciled in the Virgin Islands is subject to federal estate tax laws, despite the absence of explicit extension of those laws to the territory.

## **Holding**

No, because the federal estate tax laws had not been explicitly extended to the

Virgin Islands, an unincorporated territorial possession.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court relied on the principle that U.S. laws of general application do not automatically apply to unincorporated territories like the Virgin Islands without specific statutory reference. It noted that the Organic Act of the Virgin Islands provided for local taxation and that the federal estate tax laws had never been specifically extended to the Virgin Islands, while the territory had its own inheritance tax laws. The court compared the situation to Puerto Rico, where a similar conclusion was reached. The court considered the right to vote conferred by the Organic Act and the Electoral Ordinance, concluding that Fairchild, despite being a U.S. citizen, had a similar relationship to the Virgin Islands as citizens in Puerto Rico, thus reinforcing the decision.

### **Practical Implications**

This case clarifies the application of federal estate tax law to U.S. citizens residing in unincorporated U.S. territories, particularly the Virgin Islands. Attorneys should consider the domicile of the decedent and whether estate tax laws have been explicitly extended to the relevant territory. The case is precedent for the principle that federal tax laws do not apply to unincorporated territories absent a specific provision extending them. This is important when planning for estates with assets or domiciliaries in unincorporated territories. Cases involving similar fact patterns will be analyzed under the same rules.