

## ***Lias v. Commissioner, 24 T.C. 317 (1955)***

The court upheld the IRS's use of the net worth method to determine tax liability when a taxpayer's records were insufficient, even using a consolidated family net worth, and imposed a fraud penalty due to consistent underreporting of substantial income.

### **Summary**

The case involved a tax dispute with William Lias, who was involved in illegal gambling activities and had a history of tax evasion. Because Lias kept poor records and his assets were often held in the names of family members, the IRS used the "net worth method" to determine his income, calculating an increase in net worth over time, and then applying it to determine the unreported income. The Tax Court upheld the IRS's methodology, including the use of a "consolidated net worth" of the Lias family, finding the taxpayer's conduct made it impossible to ascertain his individual income. The court also imposed a fraud penalty due to the consistent underreporting of substantial income. The case highlights how the court will approach tax deficiencies when a taxpayer's financial dealings are complex and obfuscated.

### **Facts**

William G. Lias had a history of illegal activities, including gambling. The IRS examined Lias's returns for the years 1942-1948 because his expenditures and investments appeared to exceed his reported income. Lias was uncooperative, refusing to provide a net worth statement and claiming assets were his regardless of whose name they were in. Corporate dividends were not paid according to stock records, and funds and assets were shifted between family members. The IRS, therefore, employed the net worth method of calculating income, taking into account the consolidated net worth of the entire Lias family unit. This method compared the family's net worth at the beginning and end of each year, added in expenses, and subtracted reported income to determine unreported taxable income for William Lias.

### **Procedural History**

The IRS determined deficiencies in Lias's income taxes for the years 1942-1947, and for Lias and his wife for 1948, based on the net worth method, with fraud penalties added. Lias challenged the IRS's determination in the United States Tax Court, contesting the net worth method and the imposition of fraud penalties. The Tax Court upheld the IRS's findings, and the decision was entered under Rule 50 of the Tax Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the IRS was justified in using the consolidated net worth of the Lias

family to determine William G. Lias's individual taxable income.

2. Whether the net worth statement was arbitrary and flawed.
3. Whether the IRS was justified in imposing a fraud penalty for underreporting income.

### **Holding**

1. Yes, because the petitioner's conduct made it impossible to determine his individual income.
2. No, the Tax Court upheld the IRS's net worth computation.
3. Yes, because Lias consistently understated his income.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court found that the net worth method was permissible, and the use of a consolidated family net worth was justified. The court stated, "A taxpayer may not be heard to complain where by his own conduct he has rendered it impossible to ascertain his taxable net income by the methods ordinarily employed." The court rejected Lias's arguments against the net worth statement, finding his claims about cash on hand and family contributions to be unsupported and contradicted by the evidence, including his prior statements to the government, and the inconsistent testimony provided. The court was also persuaded by the fact that Lias and his family failed to provide testimony that could have substantiated their claims.

The court also held that fraud penalties were appropriate because Lias repeatedly understated his income by significant percentages. The court stated that the repeated understatement of income in each of the taxable years by percentages ranging from a minimum of 137 per cent in 1946 to a maximum of 488 per cent in 1944 establishes a prima facie case of fraud.

### **Practical Implications**

This case provides guidance on the use of the net worth method in cases where a taxpayer's records are inadequate or when the taxpayer engages in efforts to conceal assets. The case establishes that the IRS can consider a family's consolidated net worth when the taxpayer's financial affairs are intertwined with those of family members and if the taxpayer has made it difficult to ascertain his individual income. Taxpayers are obligated to maintain accurate records of income and expenses. The court is more likely to find that underreporting of income is due to fraud when the underreporting is substantial, repeated, and unsupported by credible evidence, and where there is evidence of attempts to conceal assets.

Later cases have relied on Lias in applying the net worth method and upholding

fraud penalties.