24 T.C. 147 (1955)

When a partnership is sold to a corporation owned by the same partners, the value of goodwill must be carefully assessed to avoid recharacterizing capital gains as disguised dividends, and penalties for failure to pay estimated taxes are assessed according to the tax liability reported in the final return, not the estimated tax.

Summary

In this tax court case, Arthur and Sidney LeVine, equal partners in a printing business, sold their partnership to a corporation they wholly owned, Ad Press, for a price that included a substantial amount for goodwill. The IRS challenged the valuation of the goodwill, arguing it was inflated to improperly convert ordinary income into capital gains. The court determined the appropriate value of goodwill based on the facts of the case. Additionally, the court addressed penalties for failure to pay estimated taxes, clarifying that these penalties should be calculated based on the tax liability reported in the final return, not the estimated tax installments. The court ruled in favor of the petitioners in part, and against them in part, finding a portion of the goodwill valuation appropriate and limiting the tax penalties.

Facts

Arthur and Sidney LeVine were the sole shareholders of Ad Press, a corporation engaged in letterpress printing, and equal partners in Legal Offset Printers, a partnership specializing in photo-offset printing. The partnership was formed in 1948. The partnership had acquired a skilled workforce and developed efficient printing techniques, leading to substantial profits within a short period. In 1950, the partnership sold its assets to Ad Press for an amount exceeding the value of its tangible assets, with $100,000 allocated to goodwill. The IRS challenged this goodwill valuation, contending it was excessive and a disguised dividend. Additionally, the LeVines had revised their estimated taxes upwards in 1950 but did not pay the full amount. They also failed to pay the full amount due when they filed their final tax returns. The IRS sought penalties for the underpayment of estimated taxes.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the income taxes of the LeVines and imposed penalties under Section 294(d)(1)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 for underpayment of estimated taxes. The LeVines contested these determinations in the U.S. Tax Court. The Tax Court considered the appropriate valuation of goodwill and the calculation of penalties for failure to pay estimated taxes.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the partnership possessed and transferred goodwill and other

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

intangibles worth $100,000 to the corporation, or whether the payment for goodwill constituted a disguised dividend.

2. Whether the increments of 1 percent for failure to pay estimated taxes continued to accrue after the filing of the final Federal income tax return.

Holding

1. Yes, the partnership transferred goodwill to the corporation, but its value was determined to be $45,000, not $100,000, because the higher valuation was based on earnings that would have naturally accrued to the corporation.

2. No, the accretion of the 1 percent increments for failure to pay estimated taxes did not continue after the filing of the final income tax return, because the final return determined the total amount due, and penalties should be calculated accordingly.

Court's Reasoning

The court acknowledged that the partnership possessed goodwill, based on its skilled employees, efficient techniques, and rapid profit growth. However, the court found the $100,000 valuation excessive because a significant portion of the partnership's business was derived from customers who would likely have done business with the corporation. The court determined that an unrelated third party would not have been willing to pay the higher amount. Therefore, the court reduced the goodwill valuation to $45,000, accounting for the value of diverted business. The court relied on the fact that offset printing accounted for the majority of Ad Press's business after the acquisition of the partnership. Regarding the penalties, the court determined that penalties should be computed on the "unpaid" amount of tax as shown in the final return. The court cited the Court of Appeals decision in *Stephan v. Commissioner* to support the position that the final tax return superseded the estimates for calculating penalties.

Practical Implications

This case is significant for practitioners dealing with the valuation of goodwill in transactions between related parties. When valuing goodwill, it is crucial to consider the source of the business and whether the acquired goodwill is the result of a competitive advantage, or is simply derived from the existing business. This case emphasizes the need for careful consideration and support for the valuation of intangible assets, especially when the parties involved are closely related. The court's reduction of the goodwill valuation here, due to the integration of the partnership's business into Ad Press, underscores the importance of considering all relevant factors when valuing the goodwill. Regarding the penalties for failure to pay estimated tax, practitioners should be aware that the filing of a final return can affect the calculation of penalties, and that penalties are assessed based on the total

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

unpaid tax amount as reported on the final return. This ruling clarifies the process for calculating penalties, ensuring accuracy in tax filings.

This case has a direct impact on how business sales, especially those that involve the sale of a partnership to a corporation, are structured for tax purposes. It also offers clear guidance to tax preparers on calculating tax penalties.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.