Church's English Shoes, Ltd. v. Commissioner, 24 T.C. 56 (1955)

A taxpayer realizes ordinary income from a gain on foreign currency exchange when the gain is related to the discharge of an indebtedness, even if the original transaction resulted in a loss. This gain is separate from any losses sustained on the original merchandise transactions.

Summary

Church’s English Shoes, Ltd. (the taxpayer) purchased shoes from its English parent company in 1935 on credit, recording the debt in dollars based on the then-current exchange rate. The taxpayer paid the debt in 1947 using fewer dollars due to a favorable shift in the exchange rate. The Commissioner determined that the difference between the original dollar equivalent of the debt and the actual dollar payment constituted taxable income. The Tax Court agreed, finding the gain from the currency exchange as ordinary income and separate from any losses related to the sale of the shoes. The court distinguished this situation from cases where the currency exchange was directly tied to a loss-generating transaction.

Facts

The taxpayer, a U.S. corporation, purchased shoes from its English parent company in 1935. The purchases were made on credit, and the debt was recorded in dollars based on the exchange rate at the time of purchase ($4.86 per pound). The taxpayer sold the shoes at a loss. The debt was not paid until 1947, when the exchange rate was lower ($4.02 7/8 per pound). Using $10,000, the taxpayer purchased pounds sterling to satisfy a debt of $12,063.30, resulting in a gain of $2,063.30 due to currency exchange. The taxpayer’s overall business operations had incurred losses during this period.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a tax deficiency for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1947. The taxpayer contested this deficiency in the United States Tax Court. The Tax Court sided with the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the taxpayer realized taxable gain in connection with the discharge of the indebtedness to the parent company.

2. If so, whether this gain should be considered ordinary income or capital gain.

Holding

1. Yes, because the difference between the original dollar value of the debt and the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

amount paid constituted a gain, which is taxable.

2. The gain is ordinary gain, not capital gain, because it stemmed from a routine business transaction of settling a debt.

Court's Reasoning

The court referenced Bowers v. Kerbaugh-Empire Co. to explain the rule that gain from foreign currency exchange might not be taxable if the original transaction resulted in a loss. However, the court distinguished Kerbaugh-Empire because the taxpayer had not shown that the shoe sales themselves resulted in a loss. The court found that gain or loss in the payment for foreign exchange is a transaction separate from the purchase and sale of shoes.

The court stated, “The proper method of accounting is to account for any profit or loss in the payment for foreign exchange in and as a transaction which is separate from the purchase and sale of the shoes.” The court emphasized that, “Taxation is ‘on the basis of annual returns showing the net result of all the taxpayer’s transactions during a fixed accounting period * * *.’" Because the gain occurred in the 1947 tax year, it was taxable in that year regardless of the losses sustained in earlier years.

The court also rejected the taxpayer’s argument for capital gains treatment, noting that there was no sale or exchange of a capital asset. The purchase of foreign currency to satisfy a debt was considered a routine business transaction.

Practical Implications

This case highlights the importance of treating foreign currency transactions separately from the underlying transactions, particularly for accounting and tax purposes. It suggests that even if an original transaction results in a loss, a subsequent currency exchange gain can still be taxed as ordinary income if the two events are considered separate. Businesses that engage in international transactions with foreign currency exposure should meticulously document and account for currency fluctuations separately to assess tax liability. This case established the principle that a gain from the discharge of an indebtedness in foreign currency is recognized when the amount paid is less than the original amount recorded on the books.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.