Erickson v. Commissioner, 23 T.C. 458 (1954)

Whether the sale of livestock should be treated as ordinary income or a capital gain hinges on the primary purpose for which the animals were held, specifically if they were held primarily for sale in the ordinary course of business.

Summary

The case concerns the tax treatment of gains from selling bulls. The taxpayers, the Ericksons, bought bulls, rented them for breeding, and later sold them. They claimed the profits from the sales should be treated as capital gains, arguing the bulls were property used in their business (breeding). The Commissioner contended the bulls were held primarily for sale, thus profits were ordinary income. The Tax Court sided with the Commissioner, ruling that the primary purpose for holding the bulls was for sale, not for breeding, making the profits taxable as ordinary income.

Facts

The Ericksons purchased bulls for approximately $123 each. They then rented these bulls to neighboring farmers for breeding purposes, receiving $10 to $25 in fees, while the farmers cared for and fed the bulls. The Ericksons later sold the bulls for about $250 each. The Ericksons' rental income was significantly less than their sales revenue. Their primary goal was to profit from the sale of the bulls. The Ericksons never used these bulls to breed their own cows.

Procedural History

The case was heard by the United States Tax Court. The Commissioner determined that the income from the sale of the bulls was taxable as ordinary income. The Ericksons challenged this determination, arguing for capital gains treatment. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the Commissioner, finding the income to be ordinary income.

Issue(s)

Whether the Ericksons held the bulls primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of their business.

Holding

Yes, because the Tax Court determined that the primary purpose for holding the bulls was for sale, rather than for breeding.

Court's Reasoning

The Court focused on the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.