Estate of Pipe v. Commissioner, 23 T.C. 99 (1954)

A bequest to a surviving spouse for life with broad powers of disposition, but with a remainder over to other beneficiaries, does not qualify for the marital deduction under the Internal Revenue Code because the interest is considered terminable.

Summary

The case concerns whether property bequeathed to a surviving spouse under a will qualifies for the marital deduction. The will granted the wife a life estate in the residue of the testator's estate with the power to use, enjoy, sell, or dispose of both the income and principal. However, the will stipulated that any portion of the residue remaining at her death would pass to designated beneficiaries. The Tax Court held that this arrangement created a terminable interest, thus disqualifying the property for the marital deduction. The court reasoned that the wife's interest terminated upon her death, and the remaindermen's interest would then vest, thereby violating the requirement that the property be included in the surviving spouse's estate.

Facts

The decedent died in 1948, survived by his wife. His will devised real property and personal effects to his wife absolutely. The residuary estate was left to his wife for life with the full power to use, enjoy, sell, or dispose of the income and principal during her life. The will stated that the wife had no power over the disposition of such part thereof as remains unexpended at the time of her death. Upon the wife's death, any identifiable portion of the residuary estate would go to specific legatees. The executor claimed a marital deduction for the value of the property passing under the will's third article. The IRS disallowed the deduction, arguing the interest was terminable.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in the estate tax. The estate contested this deficiency in the United States Tax Court.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the property bequeathed to the surviving spouse qualified for the marital deduction under Section 812(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939.

2. Whether the wife's interest was a "terminable interest" under the statute because the remainder passed to designated beneficiaries upon her death.

Holding

1. No, because the interest passing to the surviving spouse did not qualify for the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

marital deduction.

2. Yes, because the interest given to the wife was a terminable interest.

Court’s Reasoning

The court analyzed the will under New York law. The court noted that while the wife had extensive powers, including the right to use, enjoy, sell, or dispose of the income and principal of the residuary estate, the interest was limited to her lifetime. Because she had no control over what remained at her death and that part went to other beneficiaries, the court determined her interest was terminable. The court emphasized the testator’s intent to limit her control in this way. The court rejected the argument that the wife had a fee simple equivalent, stating the remainder interest prevented this. The court also addressed and dismissed arguments related to a potential trust arrangement, noting that any trust would attach to the portion of the estate that remained at the wife’s death, which was not ascertainable at the decedent’s death. The court concluded the marital deduction was designed to ensure that property would be taxed in the surviving spouse’s estate if not consumed. In this instance, because the wife’s interest was terminable, the property was not eligible for the marital deduction.

The court relied heavily on the “terminable interest” rule, designed

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.