

## ***Clarence B. Jones, 12 T.C. 415 (1949)***

Amounts received under a life insurance contract by reason of the death of the insured are exempt from income tax, but amounts received as an annuity under an annuity contract are not, even if derived from the proceeds of a life insurance policy.

### **Summary**

This case concerns the tax treatment of payments received by a beneficiary under a life insurance policy. The original policy provided for installment payments. Later, the beneficiary agreed to exchange the remaining payments for a new annuity policy. The court had to determine if the subsequent payments were still considered life insurance proceeds (tax-exempt) or if they were annuity payments (taxable). The Tax Court held that the new annuity policy created an annuity and its payments were therefore taxable. This decision clarifies the distinction between life insurance benefits and annuities for federal income tax purposes, focusing on the nature and origin of the payments.

### **Facts**

Walter C. Jones purchased a life insurance policy from Aetna Life Insurance Company, naming his son, Clarence B. Jones, as the beneficiary. The policy stipulated a death benefit payable in monthly installments. After Walter's death, Aetna made the monthly payments to Clarence for several years. Subsequently, Clarence agreed with Aetna to terminate the installment payments and receive a lump sum, the commuted value of the remaining payments. Clarence used this sum to purchase an annuity policy from Aetna. Under the annuity policy, Clarence received monthly payments. The IRS contended that these payments were taxable as an annuity, while Clarence argued that the payments were nontaxable life insurance proceeds.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Clarence B. Jones's income taxes for 1947 and 1948, treating the payments received under the annuity policy as taxable income. Jones claimed overpayments. The Tax Court considered the case and adopted the stipulation of facts.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the payments Clarence received from Aetna during the years 1947 and 1948 were governed by section 22(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code as "Amounts received under a life insurance contract paid by reason of the death of the insured," or whether they were amounts received as an "annuity" within the meaning of section 22(b)(2).

### **Holding**

1. No, the payments were treated as an annuity and taxable because the annuity policy created an annuity and its payments are therefore taxable.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court focused on the nature of the payments. The court noted that Jones's right to receive payments under the life insurance contract ceased when he entered into the annuity agreement. It emphasized that "a new annuity policy was issued, not in accordance with the original life insurance policy, and the payments in question were made pursuant to that new policy." The court found that the subsequent payments were from the annuity contract, and not from the original life insurance policy, despite the fact the annuity's principal originated from the life insurance policy. The court relied on the law, and the payments qualified as amounts received under an annuity contract as defined in section 22(b)(2), and were thus subject to the tax treatment for annuities.

### **Practical Implications**

This case provides clear guidance on distinguishing between life insurance proceeds and annuity payments for tax purposes. When a beneficiary of a life insurance policy exchanges the original policy benefits for an annuity, the payments received under the annuity are treated as annuity payments, subject to the relevant tax rules. This case underscores that, in tax matters, substance prevails over form. An insurance policy that is converted into an annuity will be taxed like an annuity. Attorneys should advise clients to understand how changes to life insurance policies can affect the tax treatment of the benefits. Also, this case remains relevant for analyzing whether a payment is subject to the life insurance or annuity tax rules in modern tax planning. This case is relevant in tax law dealing with distributions from insurance policies.