22 T.C. 261 (1954)

An estate's sale of assets, even those considered inventory in the hands of the decedent, may be treated as capital assets if the sales are part of the orderly liquidation of the estate rather than the continuation of a trade or business.

Summary

The Estate of Jacques Ferber challenged the Commissioner of Internal Revenue's determination that gains from the estate's auction and bulk sales of furs were ordinary income, rather than capital gains. Ferber, a retail fur dealer, died and his executors decided to liquidate the business. They sold the store, then held multiple auctions and bulk sales of the remaining furs. The Tax Court held that these sales resulted in capital gains because the executors were liquidating the estate's assets, not continuing the decedent's business. The court distinguished the estate's activities from the decedent's, focusing on the liquidation purpose and lack of new fur purchases by the estate.

Facts

Jacques Ferber, a retail furrier, died in November 1945. His executors decided to liquidate the business. The executors sold the retail store and its fixtures. They then held several auctions and bulk sales to dispose of the remaining inventory of furs and fur garments. The executors did not purchase any new fur skins, unlike the decedent's practice of purchasing skins annually to manufacture garments. The estate reported gains from these sales as long-term capital gains, which the Commissioner reclassified as ordinary income.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in income tax against the estate. The estate challenged this determination in the United States Tax Court. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the Estate. The case resulted in a decision to enter an order under Rule 50.

Issue(s)

Whether the furs sold by the executors after disposing of the retail store were capital assets, resulting in capital gains, or whether the gains were ordinary income.

Holding

Yes, the furs were capital assets because the estate was in the process of liquidating the assets rather than carrying on a trade or business. The gains from the sales were capital gains, not ordinary income.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court determined that the estate was a separate taxpayer from the decedent. While the furs would have been considered inventory in the decedent's hands, the characterization could change in the hands of the estate. The Court cited 26 U.S.C. Section 117 (a)(1) and determined that the executors' activities were not equivalent to continuing the retail fur business. They were liquidating the business. The executors did not purchase new skins to manufacture garments. The executors held the inventory for the purpose of liquidating the estate's assets. The court emphasized that

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.