

21 T.C. 911 (1954)

A lump-sum gift from a trust, payable in any event from income or principal, is excluded from gross income under Section 22(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, unlike a gift of income from property.

Summary

Miriam C. Lindau received a \$7,000 lump-sum gift from a trust established by her aunt. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) contended this was taxable income, arguing it was payable from trust income. Lindau argued the payment was a gift, excludable from gross income under Section 22(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Tax Court sided with Lindau, holding that since the gift was payable from either income or principal, it constituted a tax-free gift, not income. The court distinguished between lump-sum gifts, which are not taxable, and gifts of income, which are taxable.

Facts

Miriam C. Lindau received a \$7,000 gift in 1948 under the terms of a trust indenture established by her aunt, Bertha Cone. The indenture specified that the payment was a lump-sum gift to be paid to Lindau when she reached the age of 25 or upon marriage. The indenture specified that the gift could be paid out of income or principal. Cone also made bequests in her will to some of the same individuals. A state court action clarified that the gifts under the indenture were to be paid, irrespective of gifts in the will. The Moses H. Cone Memorial Hospital, acting as trustee, made the payment to Lindau in 1948. The hospital's books charged the payment against income.

Procedural History

The IRS determined a deficiency in Lindau's 1948 income tax, claiming the \$7,000 was taxable income. Lindau contested this, leading to a petition in the United States Tax Court for redetermination of the deficiency. The case was submitted to the Tax Court on stipulated facts.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the \$7,000 received by Lindau in 1948 under the trust indenture was properly excluded from her gross income under Section 22(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code as a gift.

Holding

1. Yes, because the payment was a lump-sum gift payable in any event from either income or principal, it was not includible in Lindau's gross income.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court analyzed Section 22(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, which excludes gifts from gross income but taxes the income from such gifts. The court distinguished between lump-sum gifts, gifts of income, and periodic payments. The IRS argued that the payment was payable out of trust income or was a periodic payment from income and thus taxable. The court determined that the trust indenture provided for a lump-sum payment, payable in any event out of either income or principal. Because the payment was not simply income from the trust, but a lump-sum gift, the court held that it was excludable from Lindau's gross income. The court emphasized the grantor's intent, as determined from the trust document and the state court's construction of it, to provide a specific gift without regard to income availability. The court relied on the Supreme Court's holdings in *Burnet v. Whitehouse*, which addressed the taxation of lump-sum payments and *Irwin v. Gavit*, concerning the taxability of income from property.

Practical Implications

This case provides a clear distinction for tax professionals dealing with trusts and gifts. It illustrates the importance of determining whether a payment from a trust constitutes a lump-sum gift, periodic payment or a gift of income. This case serves as a guide in drafting and interpreting trust documents to ensure that distributions are treated as intended by the grantor for tax purposes. When representing beneficiaries, it's essential to carefully analyze trust documents to ascertain the nature of the distributions received and the tax consequences. Furthermore, the case highlights that, unlike pre-1942 law, periodic payments of a sum certain payable out of income are now generally taxable under the 1942 changes to the revenue code. This case is often cited when distinguishing between taxable income distributions and non-taxable gifts from trusts.