

## **21 T.C. 874 (1954)**

An estate can deduct income distributed to beneficiaries if the income is properly credited to them during the taxable year, even if not immediately distributed, provided the estate is in a condition to make distribution and the beneficiaries have full knowledge and consent to the crediting.

### **Summary**

The U.S. Tax Court addressed whether the executors of John Fossett's estate correctly credited net income to the beneficiaries, thereby entitling the estate to deductions under Section 162(c) of the Internal Revenue Code. The executors credited the estate's income to the beneficiaries' accounts, and the beneficiaries included these amounts in their individual income tax returns. The Commissioner disallowed the deductions, arguing the income was not properly paid or credited. The court held that the executors properly credited the income because the estate had sufficient funds, the debts were paid, the time for filing claims had expired, the beneficiaries were aware of the credits, and the Nevada court approved the distributions. The court emphasized that crediting income to the beneficiaries' accounts, where they could access it upon demand, constituted an "account stated."

### **Facts**

John Fossett died testate in 1947, leaving his lumber business and estate to his brother and his brother's children. The will authorized the executors to continue the lumber business. During the fiscal year ending January 31, 1948, the estate earned a net profit of \$53,227.06. The executors instructed the accountant to credit the earnings to the beneficiaries' accounts in equal shares. The beneficiaries were informed, and the credits were made in the estate's books. The debts of the estate were paid, and the time for filing claims had expired. The executors later distributed the credited amounts to the beneficiaries. The estate filed a fiduciary income tax return, deducting the amount credited to the beneficiaries, which the Commissioner disallowed.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in income tax against the estate, disallowing the deduction for income credited to the beneficiaries. The estate challenged the deficiency in the U.S. Tax Court. The Tax Court heard the case and, based on the facts and applicable law, sided with the estate, finding the executors properly credited the income to the beneficiaries, allowing the deduction.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the executors properly credited net income to the beneficiaries of the estate during the taxable year under Section 162(c) of the Internal Revenue Code.

## **Holding**

1. Yes, because the executors properly credited the net income of the estate to the beneficiaries during the taxable year, meeting the requirements for a deduction under Section 162(c).

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court relied heavily on the precedent set in *Estate of Andrew J. Igoe*, where similar facts led to a similar conclusion. The court stated that whether income is “properly paid or credited” is primarily a question of fact. The court determined that the estate was in a position to make distributions. The court emphasized that the income was credited to the beneficiaries’ accounts with their knowledge and consent, and they included the amounts in their individual tax returns. Additionally, all debts were paid, and the time for filing claims had expired. The Nevada court having jurisdiction also approved the distributions. The Tax Court held that the crediting, with the income available upon demand, constituted an “account stated,” meeting the requirements of the law. The court distinguished the case from others where the conditions for proper crediting were not met.

## **Practical Implications**

This case provides guidance on the requirements for an estate to deduct income credited to beneficiaries. Attorneys should consider:

- Whether the estate is in a condition to make distributions;
- Whether the beneficiaries have full knowledge and consent to the crediting of income to their accounts;
- Whether the income is readily available to the beneficiaries; and
- Whether the actions are approved by the relevant court.

This case underscores the importance of meticulous record-keeping, clear communication with beneficiaries, and obtaining court approval to support tax deductions for estates. It informs attorneys on how to structure distributions, and confirms that crediting, not necessarily physical distribution, can be sufficient. Later cases would refer to the holding in this case when assessing the timing of distributions by the estate.