

## ***Estate of Andrew J. Igoe, 6 T.C. 639 (1946)***

Estate income is considered “properly credited” to beneficiaries, allowing the estate a deduction under section 162(c) of the Internal Revenue Code, when the estate’s administration has progressed sufficiently, the beneficiaries have consented, and the income is available to them upon demand, even if formal distribution is delayed.

### **Summary**

The case concerns whether an estate could deduct income credited to beneficiaries but not yet formally distributed. The Tax Court held that the estate properly credited the income to the beneficiaries, allowing the deduction. The court emphasized that the income was recorded in the beneficiaries’ accounts with their knowledge and consent, making it available to them. The estate’s debts were paid, its administration had advanced, and the court overseeing the estate had approved distributions, even if those distributions were made years after the fact. The court distinguished this situation from those where income was not readily available or the estate’s administration was incomplete. The decision underscores the importance of practical availability and beneficiary consent in determining when income is “properly credited.”

### **Facts**

- The executors of the estate credited income to the accounts of the beneficiaries.
- The beneficiaries were aware of the credits and consented to them.
- The amounts credited were readily available to the beneficiaries upon demand.
- The time for creditors to file claims against the estate had expired.
- Lawsuits were pending against the estate, but the court later approved the distributions retroactively.
- The estate had a liquid condition, with assets substantially exceeding its debts.

### **Procedural History**

The case was heard by the United States Tax Court. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue challenged the estate’s deduction for income credited to the beneficiaries. The Tax Court sided with the estate.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the executors of the estate properly credited the net income to the legatees and beneficiaries within the requirements of section 162(c) of the Internal Revenue Code.

### **Holding**

1. Yes, because under the specific facts and circumstances of the case the

executors properly credited the net income of the estate to the beneficiaries.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court's analysis focused on whether the income was "properly credited" to the beneficiaries under Section 162(c). The court began by stating that "Whether income is properly paid or credited within the purview of section 162(c) is primarily a fact question." The Court then cited the following facts as evidence that the income was properly credited:

- The income was entered on the estate's books and made known to the beneficiaries, implying the beneficiaries had control over the income.
- The beneficiaries reported the income on their tax returns, indicating their understanding and acceptance of the credits.
- The amounts were available to the beneficiaries upon demand.
- The estate was in a liquid condition, capable of making the distribution.
- The court overseeing the estate approved the distributions, even if done retroactively.

The court quoted from a previous case to state that "under the facts and circumstances of record, the entry of the income and its availability upon demand constituted, in effect, an 'account stated' between the petitioners and each beneficiary." The court distinguished the case from others where income was not readily available or the estate's administration was incomplete. The court considered the decedent's will and Nevada law, and determined that the capital gains could properly be credited along with business income, as there were no provisions to the contrary in the will or under Nevada law. The court therefore held that the estate's income was properly credited to the beneficiaries for the taxable year, and the estate could properly deduct the amounts as provided in the statute.

### **Practical Implications**

The *Igoe* case provides guidance for determining when an estate's income is "properly credited" to beneficiaries for tax purposes. Attorneys should consider these factors:

- Ensure beneficiaries are informed about the credits and demonstrate acceptance.
- Make the income readily available to beneficiaries, even if formal distribution is delayed.
- Demonstrate the estate's administration has progressed sufficiently, including payment of debts.
- Obtain court approval for distributions, where necessary, even if retroactively.
- Consider state law and the decedent's will.

This case influences estate tax planning by allowing for income shifting to

beneficiaries, which can potentially reduce overall tax liability. The case suggests that practical considerations, like informing the beneficiaries of their share, can carry significant weight for the court, even when formal requirements are not immediately met.