21 T.C. 830 (1954)

The estate tax deduction for property previously taxed under Section 812(c) of the Internal Revenue Code is only available if the decedent received the property from a prior decedent via gift, bequest, devise, or inheritance.

Summary

The United States Tax Court addressed whether the estate of Anna Yantes could claim a deduction for previously taxed property under Section 812(c) of the Internal Revenue Code. Anna created a trust, retaining income for life, with her son Edmond having a general testamentary power of appointment. Edmond exercised the power, and his estate paid estate taxes on the trust assets. Upon Anna's death within five years of Edmond's, her estate sought a deduction for the previously taxed property. The court held that the deduction was disallowed because Anna did not receive the property from Edmond; she had created the trust and transferred the power to her son. The court emphasized the statutory requirement that the second decedent must have "received" the property from the prior decedent for the deduction to apply.

Facts

Anna C. Yantes created an irrevocable trust in 1935, retaining income for her life. Upon her death, her son, Edmond, was to receive the income for life and had a general testamentary power of appointment over the trust corpus. Edmond died in 1949, exercising this power in his will. The trust assets were included in his estate and taxed. Anna died in 1950, within five years of Edmond. Her estate included the trust assets and claimed a deduction for previously taxed property under Section 812(c) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the deduction.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in Anna Yantes' estate tax, disallowing the deduction claimed for previously taxed property. The petitioner, the estate's ancillary administrator, contested this decision. The case was submitted to the United States Tax Court on a stipulation of facts. The Tax Court sided with the Commissioner, denying the deduction.

Issue(s)

Whether Anna Yantes's estate was entitled to a deduction for previously taxed property under Section 812(c) of the Internal Revenue Code, given the facts of her prior transfer of the trust property and Edmond's exercise of the power of appointment.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

No, because the statute requires the second decedent to have “received” the property from the prior decedent, which did not occur here. Anna did not receive property from Edmond. Rather, she was the initial grantor of the trust.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court based its decision on the plain language of Section 812(c), which requires that the property be “received by the decedent from… such prior decedent by gift, bequest, devise, or inheritance.” The court found that the statute was unambiguous and that the legislative history did not support any interpretation other than a strict reading of the word “received.” The court acknowledged the petitioner’s argument that Congress intended to avoid double taxation, but stated, “A court can not legislate to cure omissions in the law.” The court noted that Congress was aware of situations involving powers of appointment, as demonstrated by Section 811(f), and that the absence of an analogous provision for a case like Anna’s indicated no intent to provide a deduction in this instance. The court differentiated this case from situations where the second decedent receives property from the first decedent, which was not the case here. Further, the court rejected the argument that the “plain and unmistakable” intent of Congress should override the words of the statute.

Practical Implications

This case underscores the critical importance of the precise statutory language in estate tax planning. Attorneys must carefully scrutinize the specific requirements of the statute, particularly the term

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.