W.W. Sly Manufacturing Co., 24 B.T.A. 65 (1931)

Damages received for the destruction of business and goodwill are taxable as income to the extent they exceed the basis (i.e., the cost) of the destroyed assets, including goodwill.

Summary

The case concerns the tax treatment of a lump-sum settlement received by W.W. Sly Manufacturing Co. The company sued for damages, claiming its business had been harmed, and the court had to determine the taxable nature of the settlement. The court determined that the settlement represented compensation for lost profits, injury to the business, and punitive damages. The court held that the portion of the settlement allocated to lost profits and the portion allocated to the destruction of business and goodwill exceeding the company's basis was taxable income. Because the company had expensed its promotional campaign expenses in prior years, it had no remaining basis for the goodwill, making the entire portion representing destruction of goodwill taxable.

Facts

- W.W. Sly Manufacturing Co. (the "petitioner") received a lump-sum settlement.
- The settlement was for damages related to the destruction of its business and goodwill, including lost profits.
- The company's predecessor had incurred expenses in a promotional campaign.
- These expenses were deducted in the year incurred.
- The settlement did not specifically allocate amounts to different components.

Procedural History

- The case was brought before the Board of Tax Appeals (now the Tax Court).
- The primary issue was the taxability of the settlement proceeds.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the entire settlement amount should be considered taxable income as compensation for lost profits.
2. Whether any portion of the settlement, representing compensation for the destruction of business and goodwill, constituted taxable income, and if so, how to determine the taxable amount.

Holding

1. No, because the settlement also compensated for injury to the business and good will as well as punitive damages, thus, not entirely taxable as lost profits.
2. Yes, because the portion of the settlement attributable to the destruction of business and goodwill was taxable to the extent it exceeded the petitioner's

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

basis in those assets, and the company had no basis because it had already expensed those costs.

Court's Reasoning

The court first addressed the nature of the settlement, noting it included elements of lost profits, injury to business and goodwill, and punitive damages. The court determined that the settlement should be divided accordingly. Citing *Durkee v. Commissioner*, the court stated that an allocation was necessary and proper where tax consequences for claims differ. The court allocated a portion of the settlement as punitive damages (non-taxable) and the remainder as compensatory damages. Because the company's promotional expenditures had been expensed, the company had no basis in its good will.

The court quoted from *Raytheon Production Corp. v. Commissioner*, stating, "Although the injured party may not be deriving a profit as a result of the damage suit itself, the conversion thereby of his property into cash is a realization of any gain made over the cost or other basis of the good will prior to the illegal interference." The court concluded the portion of the settlement was taxable as income.

Practical Implications

- This case emphasizes the importance of allocating settlement proceeds to specific claims to determine their taxability.
- Businesses should maintain accurate records of the costs associated with their assets, including intangible assets like goodwill, to establish their basis for tax purposes.
- If a business receives damages for the destruction of goodwill, the tax consequences will depend on whether the company can show that its basis has not been recovered.
- This case is often cited in cases involving the tax treatment of settlements for business damages.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.