

## **21 T.C. 615 (1954)**

When a corporation's income includes excess net long-term capital gains, the alternative tax method under Section 117(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code is only applicable for calculating personal holding company surtax if it results in a lower tax liability than the standard method.

### **Summary**

The Clarence Company, a personal holding company, contested a deficiency in its personal holding company surtax. The primary issue was the correct method for calculating the surtax when considering the corporation's excess net long-term capital gains and the alternative tax method provided by Section 117(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the Commissioner, holding that the alternative method could only be used if it resulted in a lower tax liability than the standard method. The court rejected the taxpayer's argument that the alternative method should be applied regardless of the overall tax impact, emphasizing that the purpose of Section 117(c)(1) was to limit, not increase, the tax burden on capital gains.

### **Facts**

Clarence Company, a personal holding company, had a net income of \$28,744.94 for the taxable year 1948. This income included \$19,179, representing the excess of net long-term capital gains over net short-term capital losses. The corporation's total normal tax and surtax, computed on its income tax return (Form 1120), amounted to \$3,779.22. The alternative income tax, calculated on Schedule C of Form 1120, was \$4,794.75. The company reported no personal holding company surtax on its Form 1120H. The Commissioner determined a personal holding company surtax of \$2,522.74.

### **Procedural History**

The case originated in the United States Tax Court, where the Clarence Company contested a deficiency in its personal holding company surtax assessed by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue. The court considered the matter based on stipulated facts and legal arguments presented by both parties, culminating in a ruling in favor of the Commissioner.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the petitioner's personal holding company surtax liability should be calculated using the alternative method under Section 117(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code, even if it results in a higher tax liability than the standard method.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the alternative method of tax calculation under Section 117(c)(1) should only be applied if it results in a lower tax liability than the standard method, thereby fulfilling the purpose of limiting the tax on capital gains.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court focused on the interpretation and application of Section 117(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code, which provides an alternative method for calculating tax when a corporation has net long-term capital gains. The court emphasized that the purpose of this section is to prevent excessive taxation of capital gains, not to provide a tax benefit that could result in a higher overall tax liability. The court found that the taxpayer's interpretation of Section 117(c)(1), which would have allowed for a higher tax liability, contradicted the statute's intent. The court also noted that a personal holding company, like other corporations, must first calculate its income tax liability under Chapter 1 of the Code. Then, in computing personal holding company net income, a deduction is allowed for the income taxes paid or accrued.

### **Practical Implications**

This case clarifies how Section 117(c)(1) applies to personal holding companies with capital gains. It establishes that taxpayers cannot selectively apply the alternative tax method to increase tax benefits; rather, it is only applicable if it results in a lower overall tax burden. Practitioners advising personal holding companies must carefully analyze the tax implications of capital gains and losses, ensuring that the correct method is used to calculate both the regular income tax and the personal holding company surtax. This case underscores the importance of understanding the interplay between different tax provisions and the overall objective of limiting tax liability on capital gains. Taxpayers must first determine the chapter 1 tax liability before calculating the personal holding company surtax. Later courts will look to this case when determining the proper tax liability under Section 117(c)(1).