Estate of Robert A. Iverson, 2 T.C. 86 (1943)

A bequest to a fund for employee retirement and welfare may qualify for a charitable deduction if the will limits the fund's use to exclusively charitable purposes, even if the directors have discretion in how to distribute the funds.

Summary

The *Estate of Robert A. Iverson* case addressed whether a bequest to a fund intended for both employee retirement and welfare qualified for a charitable deduction under the estate tax. The Tax Court held that it did, based on the fact that the testator's will directed the funds to be used for the benefit of employees and the directors were acting as trustees. The court, following precedent from earlier cases, found that a welfare fund qualified as a charitable purpose. The central issue was whether the terms of the will restricted the use of the fund to exclusively charitable purposes, given the directors' discretion in how to distribute the funds. The court's decision hinged on whether the will limited the uses to charitable purposes, particularly given the directors' discretion in how to distribute the funds.

Facts

Robert A. Iverson's will established a life estate for his wife and directed that the remaining funds after her death be used by the directors of Erie Meter Systems, Inc., as a retirement and/or welfare fund for the employees. The directors were given discretion to distribute the income and/or principal of the fund. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the charitable deduction. The question was whether the bequest qualified for a charitable deduction under Section 812(d) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Procedural History

The case was heard by the U.S. Tax Court. The Commissioner initially disallowed the deduction. The Estate petitioned the Tax Court, arguing the bequest qualified for the charitable deduction. The Tax Court agreed with the Estate.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the bequest to the directors of Erie Meter Systems, Inc., for use as a retirement and/or welfare fund for employees qualified as a charitable bequest under Section 812(d) of the Internal Revenue Code?

Holding

1. Yes, because the court found the bequest to be exclusively for charitable purposes within the meaning of section 812 (d).

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court began by noting that the directors were effectively trustees of the fund. It recognized that a “welfare fund” for employees would qualify as exclusively for charitable purposes. However, the court acknowledged that the directors could choose to use the fund solely as a retirement fund without regard to need, which presented a more difficult question regarding the charitable nature of the bequest. The court referenced prior cases, and ultimately ruled that, the gift was still charitable. The court looked to earlier rulings which said that this kind of bequest was deductible, despite the flexibility in how it was administered, because such flexibility did not defeat the primary charitable purpose. The court relied on precedent, particularly cases that reversed earlier Tax Court decisions that had disallowed similar deductions, and found that the bequest was deductible.

Practical Implications

This case is important for estate planners and practitioners. It provides guidance on the requirements for charitable deductions for bequests to employee benefit funds. The case demonstrates that a bequest to a retirement and/or welfare fund may be considered a charitable deduction, if the will does not restrict the nature of the gift as being charitable. The case provides a template for structuring bequests to employee benefit funds to ensure they qualify for charitable deductions under the estate tax. The case is often cited for its implications on charitable giving. The case highlights that when the testator has no private or selfish motives, the purposes are considered charitable. The case suggests that even where the directors of a fund have discretion in its use the bequest is charitable.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.