***Estate of Hess v. Commissioner*, 27 T.C. 118 (1956)**

Interest payments from life insurance proceeds held by an insurer are taxable income, even if the beneficiary has a limited right of withdrawal of the principal.

Summary

The Estate of Hess challenged the Commissioner's determination that interest payments received from life insurance companies were taxable income. The taxpayer, as the primary beneficiary, had the right to receive interest on the policy proceeds that remained with the insurer. The court found that these interest payments fell within the parenthetical clause of Section 22(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code, which states that if life insurance proceeds are held by the insurer and pay interest, the interest payments are includible in gross income. The court distinguished the case from situations where beneficiaries received installment payments of both principal and interest, where the full amount might be tax-exempt. The court focused on the fact that the principal remained intact with the insurer.

Facts

The taxpayer, as the primary beneficiary, received interest payments from life insurance companies. The principal was held by the insurers. The taxpayer had a limited right to withdraw a portion of the principal annually (3%), but did not do so. The Commissioner determined that the interest payments were taxable income under the Internal Revenue Code.

Procedural History

The case began in the United States Tax Court. The Tax Court reviewed the Commissioner's determination that the interest payments were taxable income. The decision by the Tax Court is the subject of this case brief.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the interest payments made by the insurance companies to the beneficiary are includible in gross income, under Section 22(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. Yes, the interest payments are includible in gross income because they fall within the parenthetical clause of Section 22(b)(1), which states interest payments on life insurance proceeds held by an insurer are taxable.

Court's Reasoning

The court focused on the language of Section 22(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court explained that the statute generally excludes life insurance proceeds paid by reason of the death of the insured from gross income. However, the statute included a parenthetical clause stating that "if such amounts are held by the insurer under an agreement to pay interest thereon, the interest payments shall be included in gross income." The court reasoned that because the principal was left with the insurer to accumulate interest, the interest payments were taxable under the parenthetical clause. The court distinguished this situation from cases involving installment payments that include both principal and interest, which were generally found to be tax-exempt, provided that the principal was diminished in those installments.

The court specifically rejected the taxpayer's argument that her right to make annual withdrawals should alter the tax treatment. The court stated that the "mere possibility" of withdrawal was not adequate to distinguish her situation from the statute. The court also noted that the tax code clearly speaks "in the present tense" concerning the arrangement between the insurer and the beneficiary.

The court cited Senate Finance Committee reports to support its interpretation. The committee stated that it wanted to prevent the tax-exemption of "earnings" where the amount payable under the policy is placed in trust, which included interest paid on the death of the insured. The court further noted that "the entire principal was retained by the insurers. Interest payments thereon must accordingly be governed by the parenthetical clause."

Practical Implications

This case clarifies the tax treatment of interest payments on life insurance proceeds when the principal is retained by the insurer. Attorneys should consider the parenthetical clause of Section 22(b)(1) when advising clients on the tax implications of their life insurance policies. The decision emphasizes that the nature of payments, particularly whether they are solely interest or a combination of principal and interest, determines taxability. If the life insurance proceeds are held by an insurer and pay interest, the interest payments are taxable income. This is a bright-line rule, regardless of a beneficiary's potential ability to withdraw the principal. Note that this case has been cited in tax court decisions involving the same legal issues, and the holding still holds true.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.