Staab v. Commissioner, 20 T.C. 834 (1953)

The sale of a going concern, including goodwill, between related parties can be treated as a capital gain rather than a dividend distribution if the sale is bona fide and the goodwill is properly valued.

Summary

George and Mary Staab, partners in New Jersey Engraving Co., sold their partnership to Sterling Plastics Co., a corporation they wholly owned. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue argued that a portion of the sale price, attributed to goodwill, was actually a dividend distribution from Sterling to the Staabs, taxable as ordinary income, not capital gains. The Tax Court held that the sale was a bona fide sale of a going business, including significant goodwill, and the proceeds were properly treated as capital gains. The court emphasized the established business history, skilled workforce, and consistent profitability of New Jersey Engraving, which contributed to its demonstrable goodwill.

Facts

Petitioners George and Mary Staab were partners in New Jersey Engraving Co. (New Jersey), a business engaged in manufacturing precision molds and dies. They also owned 100% of the stock in Sterling Plastics Co. (Sterling), a corporation that manufactured plastic products and was a significant customer of New Jersey. In 1947, the Staabs sold their partnership, New Jersey Engraving, to Sterling for $90,610.35. This price was determined by valuing the physical assets at $29,331.50 and adding $61,278.85 for goodwill, calculated as two years of average annual net profits of New Jersey Engraving. The Staabs reported the profit from the sale as capital gains on their individual income tax returns.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue assessed deficiencies against George and Mary Staab, arguing that a portion of the sale proceeds constituted dividend income rather than capital gains. The Staabs petitioned the United States Tax Court to contest the Commissioner’s determination.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the sale of the partnership, New Jersey Engraving Co., to the petitioners’ wholly-owned corporation, Sterling Plastics Co., was a bona fide sale that included goodwill.

2. If the sale was bona fide and included goodwill, whether the portion of the sale price attributed to goodwill should be taxed as capital gain or as a dividend distribution.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Holding

1. Yes, the Tax Court held that the sale of New Jersey Engraving to Sterling was a bona fide sale of a going business, which included significant goodwill, because the evidence demonstrated that New Jersey Engraving was a profitable and established business with a valuable reputation and skilled workforce.

2. Capital Gain. The Tax Court held that the portion of the sale price attributed to goodwill was properly taxed as capital gain because the transaction was a legitimate sale of a business asset, and the goodwill was a real and valuable component of that asset. The court rejected the Commissioner's argument that it was a disguised dividend.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that the central issue was whether the sale included goodwill and whether it was a legitimate sale or a disguised dividend distribution. The court emphasized that New Jersey Engraving was a successful, ongoing business with a history of profitability, a skilled and long-tenured workforce, and a stable customer base. These factors, the court stated, clearly indicated the existence of goodwill. The court noted the method used to calculate goodwill – two years of average annual net profits – was a reasonable approach. The court stated, "Whether the partnership business had any value greater than the value of its machinery depends upon the earning power of the partnership. If the partnership had any excess earning power that is the basis for computing its good will." The court found no evidence to suggest the sale was a sham or solely tax-motivated, noting a prior offer to purchase New Jersey Engraving at a similar price from an unrelated party. The court concluded that the sale was a legitimate business transaction involving the transfer of a going concern, including its intangible asset of goodwill, and therefore, the proceeds from the sale of goodwill were properly treated as capital gains.

Practical Implications

Staab v. Commissioner is instructive in cases involving sales of businesses between related parties, particularly when goodwill is a significant asset. It underscores that the sale of a going concern, even to a wholly-owned corporation, can be recognized as a capital transaction if it is a bona fide sale and includes demonstrable goodwill. For legal professionals and businesses, this case highlights the importance of: (1) Properly valuing goodwill in business sales, especially using established methods like capitalizing excess earnings. (2) Documenting the factors that contribute to goodwill, such as business history, customer relationships, skilled workforce, and reputation. (3) Demonstrating a legitimate business purpose for the sale, beyond mere tax avoidance. This case provides precedent for taxpayers to treat proceeds from the sale of business goodwill as capital gains, even in related-party transactions, provided the sale is commercially reasonable and the goodwill is

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

genuinely transferred. Later cases have cited *Staab* in discussions of goodwill valuation and the distinction between capital gains and dividends in similar contexts.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.