Darmer v. Commissioner, 20 T.C. 822 (1953)

A taxpayer claiming a dependency exemption must prove that they provided more than half of the dependent's financial support during the tax year, not just for more than half of the time period.

Summary

Bennett Darmer claimed a dependency exemption for his son, who lived with him for part of the year before enlisting in the Navy. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the exemption, arguing that Darmer had not provided over half of his son's financial support for the entire year. The Tax Court agreed, holding that the relevant statute requires a determination of the monetary amount spent on support, not the length of time support was provided. Since the son received significant support from the Navy after enlisting, and Darmer could not establish that he provided more financial support overall, the dependency exemption was denied.

Facts

Bennett H. Darmer supported his son, James E. Darmer, until July 7, 1949. James then enlisted in the United States Navy and received no further support from his father. During his service in the Navy, James earned $445.45 and received shelter, clothing, and food. Darmer claimed a dependency exemption for James on his 1949 tax return. The Commissioner disallowed the exemption, and Darmer contested this decision in the Tax Court.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a tax deficiency, disallowing the dependency exemption claimed by the Danners. The Danners petitioned the United States Tax Court to challenge the Commissioner's decision.

Issue(s)

Whether Darmer provided over half of his son's support for the calendar year, thereby entitling him to a dependency exemption under Section 25(b)(1)(D) of the Internal Revenue Code?

Holding

No, because Darmer failed to prove that he provided over half of his son's support in terms of financial cost for the entire year.

Court's Reasoning

The court interpreted Section 25(b)(1)(D) and Section 25(b)(3)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code, which defined a dependent as someone receiving over half of their

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

support from the taxpayer. The court determined the controlling factor was the amount of money expended for support, not the duration of time the support was provided. Because the son received significant financial support, including food and shelter from the Navy, the court found that Darmer did not provide over half of the son's support. The court noted that Darmer failed to keep precise records of his son's expenses and could not estimate the amounts spent. The court relied on Treasury Regulations to clarify that support is determined by the amount of expense incurred, not the time spent. The court concluded, "the statutory test for determining half support is measured by the amount of money spent, not the time involved."

Practical Implications

This case reinforces the principle that dependency exemptions depend on demonstrating financial support exceeding half of the dependent's total support costs. Taxpayers must maintain adequate financial records to support their claims, as the court's decision hinges on the taxpayer's ability to prove the monetary amount of support provided. If a dependent receives support from multiple sources, the taxpayer must demonstrate that their contribution surpasses all other sources. This case provides a clear guideline for the amount of support provided.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.