20 T.C. 675 (1953)

When a partnership agreement provides for the continuation of the partnership after a partner's death, the partnership's tax year does not automatically close with the partner's death, and the deceased partner's share of partnership income up to the date of death is not included in their final individual income tax return.

Summary

The Tax Court addressed whether a deceased partner's share of partnership income from the beginning of the partnership's fiscal year until the date of their death should be included in the decedent's final income tax return. The partnership agreement stipulated that the partnership could continue after a partner's death. The court held that because the partnership continued its operations under the existing agreement, the partnership's tax year did not terminate upon the partner's death. Therefore, the income was not includible in the decedent's final tax return, aligning with the principle that partnership income is taxed to the partner in whose taxable year the partnership year ends.

Facts

Joseph E. Tyree was a partner in a medical practice called Salt Lake Clinic. The partnership agreement stated the partnership would continue for 20 years from March 1, 1939 and that the partnership could continue even if a partner died. The partnership's fiscal year ended on February 28, while Tyree reported income on a calendar year basis. Tyree died on August 21, 1946. The partnership continued to operate after his death according to the partnership agreement.

Procedural History

The executrix of Tyree's estate filed a tax return that did not include Tyree's share of the partnership income from March 1, 1946, to August 21, 1946. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency, arguing that this income should have been included in Tyree's final return. The Tax Court disagreed with the Commissioner, leading to the current dispute.

Issue(s)

Whether the tax year of a partnership terminates with respect to a deceased partner when the partnership agreement provides for the continuation of the partnership after the partner's death, thus requiring the inclusion of the deceased partner's share of partnership income up to the date of death in their final income tax return.

Holding

No, because the partnership agreement provided for the continuation of the partnership after the partner's death, the partnership's tax year did not end with the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

partner’s death. Therefore, the deceased partner’s share of income from the partnership’s fiscal year was not includible in the final individual income tax return.

Court’s Reasoning

The court relied on the principle that a partnership is an aggregate of its partners and the partnership’s taxable year determines when a partner recognizes their share of partnership income. Quoting Section 188 of the Internal Revenue Code, the court stated, “If the taxable year of a partner is different from that of the partnership, the inclusions with respect to the net income of the partnership, in computing the net income of the partner for his taxable year, shall be based upon the net income of the partnership for any taxable year of the partnership…ending within or with the taxable year of the partner.” The court acknowledged conflicting rulings in other circuits but chose to adhere to its previous decisions in *Mnookin* and *Waldman*. The court emphasized that the partnership agreement’s provision for continuation after a partner’s death meant that the partnership’s tax year continued uninterrupted. Thus, the income was only taxable to the partner in the tax year in which the partnership year ended. The court distinguished *Guaranty Trust Co.*, noting it was different on its facts.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies the importance of partnership agreements in determining the tax consequences of a partner’s death. Legal practitioners drafting partnership agreements should carefully consider the implications of continuation clauses on the timing of income recognition for deceased partners. This decision provides that if the partnership agreement allows for the continuation of the partnership, the partnership’s tax year does not terminate upon the death of a partner. This can defer the recognition of income to the estate. Attorneys advising estates should be aware of this rule when preparing final tax returns. Subsequent cases may distinguish this ruling based on specific language in partnership agreements or changes in tax law, but the core principle remains relevant.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.