Spear v. Commissioner, 11 T.C. 263 (1948)

Distributions from a corporation's earnings and profits are taxable as dividends, and omitting an amount from gross income, even if disclosed on a separate schedule, triggers the extended statute of limitations for tax assessment if the omission exceeds 25% of reported gross income.

Summary

The Spears received $3,802.50 from American Woolen Company representing accumulated dividends on preferred stock. They argued this was a return of capital due to a recapitalization. The Tax Court held the payment was a taxable dividend under Section 115(a) because it came from the company's accumulated earnings and profits. The court also found that because the Spears omitted the amount from their gross income calculation, despite disclosing it on an attached schedule, the five-year statute of limitations applied for assessing the tax deficiency. Finally, the court upheld the disallowance of claimed losses on wash sales of securities due to the petitioners' failure to provide evidence or argument.

Facts

- The Spears owned 65 shares of American Woolen Company 7% cumulative preferred stock.
- In 1946, they received $3,802.50 ($58.50 per share) representing accumulated unpaid dividends.
- American Woolen Company had substantial accumulated earnings and profits after February 28, 1913, and for the year ending December 31, 1946.
- The Spears reported gross income of $5,283.68 on their tax return.
- They attached a schedule to their return disclosing receipt of the $3,802.50 but stated it was not taxable.
- The Spears claimed losses on wash sales of securities on their return.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined that the $3,802.50 was a taxable dividend and assessed a deficiency. The Commissioner also disallowed losses claimed on petitioners' tax return of $468.83 representing losses on wash sales of securities. The Spears petitioned the Tax Court, arguing the payment was a return of capital and that the statute of limitations barred assessment. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the $3,802.50 received by the Spears from American Woolen Company constituted a taxable dividend under Section 115(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.
2. Whether the five-year statute of limitations under Section 275(c) of the Code

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

applied, allowing assessment of the tax deficiency.
3. Whether the respondent properly disallowed losses claimed on petitioners' tax return of $468.83 representing losses on wash sales of securities.

Holding

1. Yes, because the distribution was made out of the corporation's earnings and profits, making it a dividend under Section 115(a).
2. Yes, because the Spears omitted an amount exceeding 25% of their reported gross income, triggering the extended statute of limitations.
3. Yes, because petitioners presented neither evidence nor argument to support their claim.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that under Section 115(a), any distribution from a corporation's accumulated earnings and profits is considered a taxable dividend. The American Woolen Company had substantial earnings and profits, so the distribution qualified as a dividend. Regarding the statute of limitations, the court cited *Estate of C.P. Hale, 1 T.C. 121*, stating that reporting an item on a separate schedule as non-taxable does not negate the omission from gross income. The court quoted *Estate of C. P. Hale*, stating that "Failure to report it as income received was an omission resulting in an understatement of gross income in the return. The effect of such designation and failure to report as income was in substance the same as though the items had not been set forth in the return at all." Since the omitted amount exceeded 25% of the reported gross income, Section 275(c)'s extended statute of limitations applied. Finally, the court upheld the disallowance of losses, noting the petitioners' failure to provide any evidence or argument to support their claim. They had the burden of proof, and they did not meet it.

Practical Implications

This case highlights the broad scope of the definition of a dividend for tax purposes and underscores the importance of accurately reporting all income items, even those believed to be non-taxable, on the face of the tax return. Disclosing an item on an attachment while omitting it from the gross income calculation will not prevent the application of the extended statute of limitations if the omission is substantial. Taxpayers should be careful to specifically include items in gross income, even when taking the position that the item is excludable or otherwise not taxable. This case also serves as a reminder of the taxpayer's burden of proof in Tax Court proceedings; a failure to present evidence to support a deduction will result in its disallowance. Subsequent cases have cited *Spear* for the proposition that disclosing an item on an attachment to a return does not prevent the application of Section 6501(e) of the Internal Revenue Code (the modern equivalent of Section 275(c)).

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.